BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

)

)

))

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION) FOR APPROVAL TO ACQUIRE NEW MEXICO GAS COMPANY, INC. BY SATURN UTILITIES HOLDCO, LLC.

Case No. 24-00266-UT

JOINT APPLICANTS

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

JOHN J. REED

MAY 16, 2025

NMPRC CASE NO. 24-00266-UT INDEX TO THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN J. REED

WITNESS FOR JOINT APPLICANTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	3
II.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND KEY CONCLUSIONS	6
III.	PROVISIONS OF NMGC SERVICE DOES NOT UNDERMINE NEW MEXICO'S ENERGY OBJECTIVES	7
IV.	NMGC SYSTEM INVESTMENT IS DETERMINED BY SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS AND COMMISSIONS DECISIONS	10
V.	NMGC CUSTOMER COUNTS ARE DETERMINED BY DEMAN FOR GAS SERVICE AND CUSTOMER CHOICE	11
VI.	NMGC EMISSIONS WILL BE DETERMINED BY DEMAND FOR NATURAL GAS SERVICE	13
VII.	OTHER ISSUES RAISED BY THE WITNESSES	15
VIII.	CONCLUSIONS	18

1		I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is John J. Reed. My business address is 293 Boston Post Road West, Suite 500,
4		Marlborough, Massachusetts 01752.
5		
6	Q.	BY WHOM AND IN WHAT CAPACITY ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
7	А.	I am the Chairman of Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. ("Concentric"). Concentric is a
8		management consulting firm specializing in financial and economic services to the energy
9		industry.
10		
11	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
12	A.	No, I have not.
13		
14	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU SUBMITTING REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS
15		PROCEEDING?
16	А.	I am submitting Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of the Joint Applicants ¹ in the Application regarding
17		the proposed acquisition of TECO Energy, NMGI, and NMGC (collectively, the "NMGC Group")
18		by Saturn Holdco (the "Transaction").

¹ New Mexico Gas Company, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("NMGC"); Emera Inc., a Nova Scotia corporation ("Emera"); Emera U.S. Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation ("EUSHI"); New Mexico Gas Intermediate, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("NMGI"); TECO Holdings, Inc., a Florida corporation ("TECO Holdings"); TECO Energy, LLC (formerly TECO Energy, Inc.), a Florida limited liability company ("TECO Energy"); Saturn Utilities, LLC; a Delaware limited liability company ("Saturn Utilities"); Saturn Utilities Holdco, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Saturn Holdco"); Saturn Utilities Aggregator, LP, a Delaware limited partnership ("Saturn Aggregator"); Saturn Utilities Aggregator GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Saturn Aggregator GP"); Saturn Utilities Topco, LP, a Delaware limited partnership ("Saturn Topco"); Saturn Utilities Topco GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Saturn Topco GP")2 ; BCP Infrastructure Fund II, LP, a Delaware limited partnership ("BCP Infrastructure Fund II"); BCP Infrastructure Fund II-A, LP, a Delaware limited partnership ("BCP Infrastructure Fund II");

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.

2 A. I have more than 48 years of experience in the North American energy industry. Prior to my current 3 position with Concentric, I served in executive positions with various consulting firms and as Chief 4 Economist with Southern California Gas Company, one of North America's largest natural gas 5 distributors. I have provided expert testimony on financial and economic matters on more than 200 6 occasions before state regulatory agencies, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), the Canada Energy Regulator / National Energy Board, various state and federal courts, and before 7 8 arbitration panels in the United States and Canada. I have previously provided testimony before 9 the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission ("Commission" or "NMPRC") on multiple 10 occasions. I have also served as an arbitrator in cases involving energy contract disputes. My 11 background is presented in more detail in JA Exhibit JJR-1 (Rebuttal): Résumé and Testimony 12 Listing.

13

Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EXPERIENCE AND DIRECT INVOLVEMENT IN UTILITY TRANSACTIONS.

A. As an industry expert, I have been involved in numerous utility transactions over the past 25 years,
 including mergers, divestitures, asset acquisitions, and reorganizations. Recently, I have advised
 clients involved in utility transactions in Texas, Kansas, Hawaii, Massachusetts, Connecticut, New
 Hampshire, Arizona, Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota, Indiana, and Louisiana. I have
 appeared as an expert witness in several jurisdictions on the topics of merger policy standards,
 acquisition financing plans, merger benefits analyses, affiliate codes of conduct, impacts on

Infrastructure Fund II GP, LP, a Delaware limited partnership ("BCP Infrastructure II GP," and together with BCP Infrastructure Fund II and BCP Infrastructure Fund II-A, the "BCP Infrastructure Funds")3 (collectively, the "Joint Applicants").

1		competition and energy markets, and merger-related commitments or conditions. In prior years, I
2		have been involved in large utility transactions in Iowa, Utah, Washington, Oregon, the District of
3		Columbia, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Maine, and Rhode Island.
4		
5	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
6	A.	The purpose of my Rebuttal Testimony is to respond to the testimony submitted by Mr. Michael
7		Kenney ("Witness Kenney") on behalf of Western Resources Advocates ("WRA"), Mr. Jason
8		Price ("Witness Price"), Ms. Angela Vitulli ("Witness Vitulli") and Ms. Stefani Penn ("Witness
9		Penn") on behalf of the Coalition for Clean Affordable Energy ("CCAE") and Mr. Mark Garrett
10		("Witness Garrett") on behalf of the New Mexico Department of Justice ("NMDOJ") in which
11		these witnesses seek to evaluate various aspects of the Transaction ("Witnesses"). My rebuttal
12		testimony addresses the following witness assertions: Witness Price asserts that due to the
13		Transaction natural gas costs for consumers will increase because of additional investments that
14		will be made by NMGC while at the same time customer counts will decline. Witness Vitulli
15		asserts that Greenhouse Gas ("GHG") emissions should be considered in the approval of the
16		Transaction and claims that the Transaction will result in GHG emissions of approximately 15
17		million metric tons between 2025 and 2040 because of customer additions related to the
18		Transaction. Witness Penn asserts that the health impacts of conventional air pollutants (i.e.,
19		noncarbon emissions) are attributable to the Transaction and thus should be considered in the
20		approval of the Transaction. Witness Kenney asserts that that the Transaction will result in NMGC
21		decarbonization investments that are more costly than alternatives which will lead to higher costs
22		for customers. The fact that there are assertions made by the Witnesses that I have not addressed

- in my rebuttal testimony should not be construed as being either agreement with or acceptance of
 these positions.
- 3

4

5

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND KEY CONCLUSIONS

Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY AND KEY CONCLUSIONS.

A. It is my understanding that gas utility change of control transactions in New Mexico must be shown 6 7 to be in the public interest as determined by the Commission. This determination is made by 8 comparing circumstances that would exist in the absence of a given transaction to circumstances 9 that would likely arise following a transaction. I have reviewed the analyses performed by 10 Witnesses Kenney, Price, Penn, and Vitulli. In each case, I have determined that the outcomes they 11 attribute to the Transaction are unrelated to the Transaction (i.e., there is no relationship between 12 the anticipated outcomes and the Transaction), the anticipated outcomes are unlikely to occur in 13 the future or such outcomes can only be addressed through future Commission decisions 14 independent of the Transaction. Given the lack of any causal link between the outcomes the 15 Witnesses describe and the Transaction, I conclude that their analyses are not applicable to the required public interest determination. I have also evaluated the assertion of Witness Garrett that 16 17 following the Transaction, NMGC could somehow use "double leverage" to recover the 18 acquisition premium.²

- 19

20

Q. HOW IS YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

22

21

A. In Section III, I address the assertion of Witness Vitulli that planned NMGC customer growth is not in the public interest by demonstrating that the NMGC gas delivery system is critical to meeting

² Garrett Direct at 14.

1 New Mexico's energy needs. In Section IV, I address the flawed assertions of Witnesses Kenney 2 and Price that the Transaction will cause a change in the level of NMGC investment, rather than 3 this level of investment being determined by system requirements and Commission decisions as is the case today. In Section V, I address the assertions of Witnesses Price and Vitulli that the 4 5 Transaction will result in a change in customer counts, rather than customer counts being determined by customer demand for natural gas service. In Section VI, I address the assertions of 6 7 Witnesses Penn and Vitulli that the Transaction will cause an increase in the level of emissions 8 that but-for the Transaction would not occur. In Section VII, I rebut the assertion of Witness Vitulli 9 that observations gleaned from transactions involving oil and gas exploration properties are 10 relevant to a determination of public interest in the Transaction, which involves the transfer of a 11 domestic gas utility subject to cost-of-service regulation.

- 12
- 13

14

III. PROVISIONS OF NMGC SERVICE DOES NOT UNDERMINE NEW MEXICO'S ENERGY OBJECTIVES

Q. WITNESS VITULLI STATES THAT THE TRANSACTION WILL NEGATIVELY IMPACT STATEWIDE DECARBONIZATION EFFORTS AND EFFORTS TO ELECTRIFY NEW MEXICO'S HEATING REQUIREMENTS.³ HOW DO YOU RESPOND?

A. While the governor of New Mexico has issued Executive Order 2019-003, to date, no specific decarbonization laws or corresponding regulations have been promulgated which relate to New Mexico's natural gas utilities. The Transaction will not impact how NMGC complies with federal or state-level environmental laws and regulations established in the future. Furthermore, no aspect

³ Vitulli Direct at 4-5, 9-11.

1	of the Transaction impedes State decarbonization goals or prevents customers from choosing to
2	electrify their heating and other energy requirements currently served with natural gas.
3	
4	Q. ARE THE SERVICES OF NMGC IMPORTANT TO THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO?
5	A. Yes. I understand a majority of residences in New Mexico relied on natural gas for their space
6	heating. ⁴ The residential customers served by NMGC consumed an average of 636 therms per year
7	with virtually all of these customers relying on natural gas as their primary source for heating. ⁵ If
8	these customers were to cease using natural gas and instead use electricity for heating and other
9	applications, their energy costs would increase considerably and they would need to replace
10	various household appliances costing several thousands of dollars per home.
11	
12	Q. IS NMGC REQUIRED BY LAW TO PROVIDE NATURAL GAS SERVICE?
13	A. Yes, that is my understanding. Pursuant to New Mexico Statute § 30-13-2, NMGC has an obligation
14	to serve customers and is prohibited from refusing service except under specific, legally defined
15	circumstances. Further, New Mexico Statute § 62-8-2 obligates New Mexico utilities to furnish
16	adequate, efficient, and reasonable service to its customers.
17	
18	Q. WHAT IS THE LIKELY PATH OF DECARBONIZATION IN NEW MEXICO?
19	A. While states across the U.S. are choosing various paths of decarbonization, it is clear that achieving
20	a significant reduction in natural gas consumption will require an extensive power and gas
21	infrastructure planning process and substantial new investment in the electric system. This

⁴ U.S. Energy Information Administration, New Mexico State Energy Profile, Energy Source Used for Home Heating, as of 2023.

⁵ In the Matter of the Joint Application for Approval to Acquire New Mexico Gas Company Inc. by Saturn Utilities Holdco, LLC., Executive Summary, Case No. 24-00266-UT, October 28, 2024, at 1.

investment will include conversion of the existing stock of natural gas appliances and construction 1 2 of substantial new electric infrastructure. New electrical infrastructure will include generation, transmission and distribution assets costing several billions of dollars.⁶ Even if a full conversion 3 4 to electric power is eventually deemed desirable by the state of New Mexico, the time required to 5 complete such a conversion will span multiple decades, during which time the NMGC system will be required to serve customers reliably and in an economically viable manner. Regardless of the 6 7 eventual decarbonization path selected by the state, such a transition will surely be guided by the 8 New Mexico legislative and regulatory actions that will progress independent of the ownership of 9 NMGC. Nothing in the Transaction impedes or alters efforts by the state to electrify the gas system 10 in the future.

11

Q. WHY IS THE NMGC SYSTEM NEEDED IF THE STATE EVENTUALLY SEEKS TO FULLY DECARBONIZATION?

A. Denying the continued availability of safe, reliable and affordable natural gas service to NMGC's service territory would be contrary to the state's decarbonization goals, given that the state's and the region's electric generation mix is still heavily dependent on fossil fuels.⁷ Promoting a rapid and premature conversion to electric end-uses before conversion of the electric generation fleet to renewable resources may actually result in higher levels of carbon emissions in the near-term. Achieving progress in decarbonization is an important element of New Mexico's energy policy, but it is certainly not the only element to consider. Providing affordable and reliable means for

⁶ New Mexico electric utility marginal cost studies and other industry data suggest that utility infrastructure required including generation, transmission and distribution to support full electrification could cost on the order of \$10,000 per household and NMGC presently has 510,000 residential customers. On this basis, additional utility infrastructure costs could be \$5.1 billion in 2025 dollars.

⁷ U.S. Energy Information Administration, New Mexico State Energy Profile, Utility-Scale Net Electricity Generation, as of January 2025.

1	customers to meet basic needs such as heating, water heating and cooking cannot be overlooked.
2	The natural gas delivery system is, and will remain, an important part of New Mexico's energy
3	infrastructure for decades as progress is being made on both decarbonizing the electric supply
4	system and expanding beneficial electrification.
5	
6	IV. NMGC SYSTEM INVESTMENT IS DETERMINED BY SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS AND
7	COMMISSIONS DECISIONS
8	Q. WITNESSES KENNEY AND PRICE ASSERT THAT THE TRANSACTION WILL
9	CAUSE AN INCREASE IN NMGC INVESTMENT LEVELS. ^{8,9} HOW TO DO YOU
10	RESPOND?
11	A. The level of investment required to operate NMGC is set through various NMPRC regulatory
12	proceedings such as rate cases, Certificates of Convenience and Necessity ("CCN"), and the
13	Integrity Management Program ("IMP"). Through these filings, NMGC requests certain
14	investments in the gas system it deems to be required to serve its customers in a safe, reliable and
15	affordable manner. ¹⁰ The NMPRC then evaluates these requests and makes a determination
16	regarding the appropriate level of investment required to maintain safe, reliable and affordable gas
17	service. Whether NMGC continues to operate under its existing ownership or whether the utility
18	is acquired by a third party, the NMPRC will still approve a level of investment that it deems to be
19	appropriate and approve customer rates that its deems to be just and reasonable. ¹¹ Thus, the level
20	of investment required to maintain the safe, reliable and affordable operations of NMGC is not a

⁸ Kenney Direct at 8.

⁹ Price Direct at 7.

¹⁰ NM Stat § 62-8-2.

¹¹ Pursuant to NM Stat § 62-8-1, "every rate made, demanded or received by any public utility shall be just and reasonable." In addition, NM Stat § 62-8-7 provides the Commission with authority to determine and fix just and reasonable rates if the Commission finds proposed rates to be unjust, unreasonable, or in any way a violation of the law.

1	function of the entity that owns NMGC, but rather it is set by system requirements and NMPRC
2	decisions. Simply put, changing the owner of NMGC will not change the level of investment
3	required for NMGC to discharge its public service obligations.
4	
5	V. <u>NMGC CUSTOMER COUNTS ARE DETERMINED BY DEMAND FOR GAS SERVICE</u>
6	AND CUSTOMER CHOICE
7	Q. WITNESS PRICE ASSERTS THAT NMGC CUSTOMER COUNT IS LIKELY TO
8	DECLINE IN THE FUTURE DUE TO STATE CARBON REDUCTION TARGETS. ¹²
9	HOW TO DO YOU RESPOND?
10	A. This may or may not occur in the next 20 years, but this outcome will not be affected by who owns
11	NMGC. Across the U.S., state decarbonization goals are being pursued with a range of actions
12	across the transportation, power and gas systems. As states consider how to achieve
13	decarbonization targets, it is becoming increasingly clear that gas systems will remain an important
14	element in an overall cost effective and reliable energy system. ¹³ In particular, it is unlikely that
15	states can achieve decarbonization targets through full electrification in the near term given the
16	rapid growth in electric demand, the current generation resource mix which includes a significant
17	percentage of fossil generation, limits on the amount of renewable generating capacity that can be
18	integrated into the power grid, the need for significant energy storage investments to supplement
19	intermittent renewable generation, the cost to construct necessary electric transmission and
20	distribution infrastructure and the cost to replace all gas-consuming customer appliances. Thus,

¹² Price Direct at 7.

¹³ Utility commissions across the U.S. regularly approve gas utility investments with lives extending more than 30 years into the future and recognize that gas utilities will be vital in serving certain hard to electrify buildings and applications. Under such circumstances, there will be a continuing need to maintain the gas system in order to continue to safely and reliably serve customers for several decades into the future.

1	even under an aggressive decarbonization policy, the NMGC gas system is highly likely to be
2	needed to provide heating to customers on the coldest days of the year when electricity is in short
3	supply. Given these factors, it is reasonable to expect that customers will continue to find NMGC
4	service to be a reliable and cost-effective means of meeting at least a portion of their energy needs
5	for many years to come, and NMGC's customer count is unlikely to decline materially in the next
6	ten to twenty years.
7	
8	Q. DOES WITNESS PRICE ASSERT THAT THE TRANSACTION WILL ALTER NMGC'S
9	CUSTOMER GROWTH OBJECTIVES FOLLOWING THE TRANSACTION?
10	A. No. Witness Price and Vitulli both indicate that NMGC's customer addition forecasts are "on par
11	with NMGC's historical rates" and "within the range of annual customer additions for the years
12	2020 through 2024." ^{14,15} Thus, these witnesses confirm that the Transaction will not influence
13	customer additions or attrition trends in New Mexico or for NMGC. Over time, if regulations or
14	other factors alter the demand for natural gas service, then NMGC will alter its customer addition
15	forecasts. Any NMGC customer growth or attrition patterns are independent of the Transaction
16	and will be the result of customer choice. If New Mexico wants to pursue an alternative pathway
17	for residential customer choice, then that will need to be addressed through new policies, to which
18	NMGC (regardless of ownership) will need to respond and adapt.

19

¹⁴ Price Direct at 5.

¹⁵ Vitulli Direct at 10.

1	VI. <u>NMGC EMISSIONS WILL BE DETERMINED BY DEMAND FOR NATURAL GAS</u>
2	<u>SERVICE</u>
3	Q. WITNESSES PENN AND VITULLI ASSERT THAT THE NMPRC SHOULD REJECT
4	THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION BASED ON A PROJECTION OF CONVENTIONAL
5	AND CARBON EMISSIONS THAT WILL BE RELEASED BY CONSUMERS OF NMGC
6	NATURAL GAS DELIVERY SERVICES. ^{16,17} HOW TO DO YOU RESPOND?
7	A. The emissions associated with consumption of natural gas delivered by NMGC is not a function of
8	who owns NMGC. Rather, conventional (i.e., non-carbon) and carbon emissions resulting from
9	the consumption of NMGC delivery services for heating and other applications are the result of
10	several variables, including the level of gas used by consumers, the fuel's carbon content being
11	delivered by NMGC, the efficiency with which the fuel is consumed and the investment by NMGC
12	in leak reduction. Changes in these variables are independent of the Transaction, and thus, the
13	Transaction has no bearing on NMGC emissions. The mechanisms through which changes in each
14	of these variables occur are described in greater detail below.
15	
16	Q. WHAT DETERMINES THE LEVEL OF NATURAL GAS USED BY NMGC
17	CUSTOMERS?
18	A. The demand for natural gas will be determined by customer preferences, weather patterns and the
19	cost of natural gas relative to other competing fuels. NMGC does not control the market for natural
20	gas, the weather, nor the quantity of natural gas consumed by its customers. Rather, customers

choose natural gas over competing fuels based on all-in costs, convenience and comfort. The

¹⁶ Penn Direct at 2.

¹⁷ Vitulli Direct at 6.

1 Transaction will not affect the cost of natural gas relative to other fuels nor the demand for NMGC 2 services. 3 4 Q. WHAT DETERMINES THE CARBON CONTENT OF THE FUEL THAT IS 5 **DELIVERED BY NMGC?** A. The carbon content of natural gas delivered by NMGC is governed by the NMPRC¹⁸ and is not 6 7 affected by the ownership of NMGC. To the extent that the NMPRC approves programs for 8 NMGC to procure alternatives to fossil natural gas such as Renewable Natural Gas ("RNG"), 9 Certified Natural Gas ("CNG"), or hydrogen, then the carbon content of gas consumed by NMGC 10 customers will change independent of the Transaction. 11 Q. WHAT DETERMINES THE LEVEL OF NMGC INVESTMENT MADE IN SYSTEM 12 **UPGRADES TO REDUCE LEAKS?** 13 14 A. Today and following the Transaction, NMGC will be required to operate the gas delivery system 15 in a manner that is consistent with NMPRC regulations and decisions. The level of investment 16 in leak reduction is also a function of the regulations of the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials 17 Safety Administration ("PHMSA"), New Mexico legislature and the NMPRC through its various 18 orders. This level of investment is not a function of who owns NMGC. 19

¹⁸ New Mexico Administrative Code 17.10.650.

Q. WITNESSES VITULLI AND KENNEY ASSERT THAT THE TRANSACTION IS PROBLEMATIC FROM AN ENVIRONMENTAL AND COST PERSPECTIVE, INDICATING THAT THE TRANSACTION WILL ACCELERATE THE USE OF RNG, CNG AND HYDROGEN.^{19,20} DO YOU AGREE?

- A. No. The Transaction will in no way accelerate or lead to the use of these fuels absent a Commission
 decision. The Joint Applicants' interests in the potential integration of RNG, CNG, and hydrogen
 should have no impact on the Transaction's ruling or outcome and is not a function of who NMGC.
 Any proposals or investments in RNG, CNG, and hydrogen must be inherently reviewed and
 approved by the NMPRC pursuant to New Mexico Statute § 62-6-19. It will be the role and
 responsibility of the Commission to approve the use of these fuels and determine whether their use
 results in just and reasonable rates for customers.
- 12
- 13

VII. OTHER ISSUES RAISED BY THE WITNESSES

Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. GARRETT'S SUGGESTION THAT THE ACQUISITION PREMIUM COULD BE "INDIRECTLY" RECOVERED FROM NMGC'S CUSTOMERS BY USING DEBT AT THE HOLDING COMPANY LEVEL TO FINANCE THE TRANSACTION?²¹

18 A. The Joint Applicants' commitment that the goodwill created by this Transaction will not be 19 recovered from NMGC's customers is clear and unambiguous.²² Further, it is common for utility 20 mergers to use parent company debt to finance some portion of a transaction at least for some 21 period of time. Doing so does not mean that customers are indirectly paying for the acquisition

¹⁹ Vitulli Direct at 41, 45.

²⁰ Kenney Direct at 8.

²¹ Garrett Direct at 52.

²² Baudier Direct at 34.

1 premium as Mr. Garrett asserts. The Commission establishes NMGC's rates, including its capital 2 structure and allowed return on equity, based on the costs and risks of the utility. This stand-alone 3 principle is fundamental to traditional utility ratemaking in North America. Therefore, the 4 Commission determines which costs are recoverable through rates, not the utility or the utility's 5 parent company. Commission-established rates are intended to enable NMGC to provide adequate, 6 reasonable, and efficient service which includes enabling the utility to continue to attract capital 7 by permitting investors to earn a reasonable return commensurate with the returns required by 8 investors for investments of comparable risk. The Transaction will not alter the Commission's 9 regulatory authority, and the appropriate capital structure and return on equity for NMGC will 10 continue to be set by the Commission.

11

Q. WITNESS VITULLI ASSERTS THAT THE TRANSACTION WILL CAUSE AN INFLUX OF DATACENTERS IN THE NMGC SERVICE TERRITORY WHICH WILL CAUSE INCREASED EMISSIONS.²³ DO YOU AGREE?

A. No. Any potential growth in emissions associated with datacenter development is unrelated to the 15 16 Transaction. Datacenters are large users of grid-delivered electricity. An important consideration 17 in the siting of datacenters is the timing and access to sufficient electric utility service (i.e., 18 interconnection). The Transaction will have no impact on the cost and time required to obtain such 19 an electric utility interconnection. In addition, the Transaction does not contemplate or propose to 20 supply any specific datacenters with natural gas service. Therefore, any arguments suggesting that 21 the Transaction will accelerate datacenter construction, and therefore increase emissions within 22 the NMGC service territory must be rejected. Furthermore, if a datacenter sought to self-generate

²³ Vitulli Direct at 6-7.

all of its power requirements, it would likely choose a location that has direct access to pipeline
 natural gas and not be served directly by NMGC.

3

Q. WITNESS VITULLI COMPARES THE TRANSACTION TO SALES OF UPSTREAM OIL & GAS ASSETS, ASSERTING THAT THE TRANSACTION IS A "TRANSFER" OF EMISSIONS AND A "LOOPHOLE". HOW DO YOU RESPOND?

7 A. The comparison made by Witness Vitulli is deeply flawed on two accounts. First, Witness Vitulli 8 cites a position paper and a news article, which describe the acquisition of upstream oil and gas 9 exploration assets not subject to cost-of-service regulation and located outside the U.S. For 10 example, one of the articles referenced by Witness Vitulli provides an example in which "Saudi Aramco, the Kingdom's national oil company, acquired a 30% stake in a refinery in Poland, and 11 12 Somoil, an Angolan group, bought offshore oil assets from France's Total".²⁴ These activities clearly have no connection to the Transaction. In particular, NMGC is not an upstream gas 13 production company; it is a U.S. gas distribution company that delivers gas to end-use consumers. 14 15 Second, NMPRC regulations will continue to apply to NMGC following the Transaction in the 16 same manner these regulations apply today. Furthermore, any new regulations promulgated by the NMPRC in the future will apply to NMGC regardless of ownership of NMGC. Thus, the 17 Transaction does not create a "loophole" for the "transfer" of emissions as asserted by Witness 18 19 Vitulli. These statements should be given no weight whatsoever in considering this Application.

20

²⁴ "Who buys the dirty energy assets public companies no longer want?" *The Economist*, February 12, 2022, available at https://www.economist.com/finance-and-economics/who-buys-the-dirty-energy-assetspublic-companies-no-longer-want/2180759.

1

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

2 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS.**

- A. Witnesses Kenney, Price, Penn, and Vitulli each fail to provide relevant or reliable arguments
 opposing the Transaction. They have not isolated or properly identified any adverse effects caused
 by Transaction. Any projected outcomes they described are the result of energy and market trends
 in New Mexico that are independent of the Transaction. The Transaction will not alter the demand
 for NMGC services nor change NMGC's emissions profile. No aspect of the Transaction will
 impair the utility's ability or willingness to fully discharge its public service obligations, and no
 aspect of the Transaction will harm New Mexico's environmental objectives in any way.
- 10

11 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

12 A. Yes, it does.



JOHN J. REED

CHAIRMAN

Mr. Reed is a financial and economic consultant with more than 48 years of experience in the energy industry. Mr. Reed has also been the CEO of an NASD member securities firm, and Co-CEO of one of the nation's largest publicly traded management consulting firms. He has provided advisory services in the areas of mergers and acquisitions, asset divestitures and purchases, strategic planning, project finance, corporate valuation, energy market analysis, rate and regulatory matters and energy contract negotiations to clients across North and Central America. Mr. Reed's comprehensive experience includes the development and implementation of nuclear, fossil, and hydroelectric generation divestiture programs with an aggregate valuation in excess of \$20 billion. Mr. Reed has also provided expert testimony on financial and economic matters on more than 400 occasions before the FERC, Canadian regulatory agencies, state utility regulatory agencies, various state and federal courts, and before arbitration panels in the United States and Canada. After graduation from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania, Mr. Reed joined Southern California Gas Company, where he worked in the regulatory and financial groups, leaving the firm as Chief Economist in 1981. He served as an executive and consultant with Stone & Webster Management Consulting and R.J. Rudden Associates prior to forming REED Consulting Group (RCG) in 1988. RCG was acquired by Navigant Consulting in 1997, where Mr. Reed served as an executive until leaving Navigant to join Concentric as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.

REPRESENTATIVE PROJECT EXPERIENCE

Executive Management

• As an executive-level consultant, worked with CEOs, CFOs, other senior officers, and Boards of Directors of many of North America's top electric and gas utilities, as well as with senior political leaders of the U.S. and Canada on numerous engagements over the past 43 years. Directed merger, acquisition, divestiture, and project development engagements for utilities, pipelines, and electric generation companies, repositioned several electric and gas utilities as pure distributors through a series of regulatory, financial, and legislative initiatives, and helped to develop and execute several "roll-up" or market aggregation strategies for companies seeking to achieve substantial scale in energy distribution, generation, transmission, and marketing.

Financial and Economic Advisory Services

• Retained by many of the nation's leading energy companies and financial institutions for services relating to the purchase, sale, or development of new enterprises. These projects included major new gas pipeline projects, gas storage projects, several non-utility generation projects, purchasing and selling project development and gas marketing firms, and utility acquisitions. Specific services provided include developing corporate expansion plans, reviewing acquisition candidates, establishing divestiture standards, due diligence on



acquisitions or financing, market entry or expansion studies, competitive assessments, project financing studies, and negotiations relating to these transactions.

Litigation Support and Expert Testimony

- Provided expert testimony on more than 400 occasions in administrative and civil proceedings on a wide range of energy and economic issues. Clients in these matters have included gas distribution utilities, gas pipelines, gas producers, oil producers, electric utilities, large energy consumers, governmental and regulatory agencies, trade associations, independent energy project developers, engineering firms, and gas and power marketers. Testimony has focused on issues ranging from broad regulatory and economic policy to virtually all elements of the utility ratemaking process. Also frequently testified regarding energy contract interpretation, accepted energy industry practices, horizontal and vertical market power, quantification of damages, and management prudence. Has been active in regulatory contract and litigation matters on virtually all interstate pipeline systems serving the U.S. Northeast, Mid-Atlantic, Midwest, and Pacific regions.
- Also served on FERC Commissioner Terzic's Task Force on Competition, which conducted an industry-wide investigation into the levels of and means of encouraging competition in U.S. natural gas markets and served on a "Blue Ribbon" panel established by the Province of New Brunswick regarding the future of natural gas distribution service in that province.

Resource Procurement, Contracting, and Analysis

- On behalf of gas distributors, gas pipelines, gas producers, electric utilities, and independent energy project developers, personally managed or participated in the negotiation, drafting, and regulatory support of hundreds of energy contracts, including the largest gas contracts in North America, electric contracts representing billions of dollars, pipeline and storage contracts, and facility leases.
- These efforts have resulted in bringing large new energy projects to market across North America, the creation of hundreds of millions of dollars in savings through contract renegotiation, and the regulatory approval of a number of highly contested energy contracts.

Strategic Planning and Utility Restructuring

• Acted as a leading participant in restructuring the natural gas and electric utility industries over the past twenty years, as an advisor to local distribution companies, pipelines, electric utilities, and independent energy project developers. In the recent past, provided services to most of the top 50 utilities and energy marketers across North America. Managed projects that frequently included the redevelopment of strategic plans, corporate reorganizations, the development of multi-year regulatory and legislative agendas, merger, acquisition and divestiture strategies, and the development of market entry strategies. Developed and supported merchant function exit strategies, marketing affiliate strategies, and detailed plans for the functional business units of many of North America's leading utilities.



PROFESSIONAL HISTORY

Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. (2024 - Present) Chairman

Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. (2002 – 2023) Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

CE Capital Advisors (2004 – 2023) Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer

Navigant Consulting, Inc. (1997 – 2002)

President, Navigant Energy Capital (2000 – 2002) Executive Director (2000 – 2002) Co-Chief Executive Officer, Vice Chairman (1999 – 2000) Executive Managing Director (1998 – 1999) President, REED Consulting Group, Inc. (1997 – 1998)

REED Consulting Group (1988 - 1997)

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

R.J. Rudden Associates, Inc. (1983 - 1988)

Vice President

Stone & Webster Management Consultants, Inc. (1981 - 1983)

Senior Consultant Consultant

Southern California Gas Company (1976 - 1981)

Corporate Economist Financial Analyst Treasury Analyst

EDUCATION

Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

B.S., Economics and Finance, 1976 Licensed Securities Professional: NASD Series 7, 63, 24, 79 and 99 Licenses

BOARDS OF DIRECTORS (PAST AND PRESENT)

Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. Navigant Consulting, Inc. Navigant Energy Capital Nukem, Inc. New England Gas Association Northeast Gas Association



R. J. Rudden Associates REED Consulting Group

AFFILIATIONS

American Gas Association Energy Bar Association Guild of Gas Managers International Association of Energy Economists Northeast Gas Association Society of Gas Lighters Society of Utility and Regulatory Financial Analysts

ARTICLES AND PUBLICATIONS

"Maximizing U.S. federal loan guarantees for new nuclear energy," Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists (with John C. Slocum), July 29, 2009 "Smart Decoupling – Dealing with unfunded mandates in performance-based ratemaking," Public

Utilities Fortnightly, May 2012



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT		
Alaska Regulatory Commission						
Chugach Electric	12/86	Chugach Electric	U-86-11	Cost Allocation		
Chugach Electric	5/87	Enstar Natural Gas Company	U-87-2	Tariff Design		
Chugach Electric	12/87	Enstar Natural Gas Company	U-87-42	Gas Transportation		
Chugach Electric	11/87 2/88	Chugach Electric	U-87-35	Cost of Capital		
Anchorage Municipal Light & Power	9/17	Anchorage Municipal Light & Power	U-16-094 U-17-008	Project Prudence		
Municipality of Anchorage ("MOA") d/b/a Municipal Light and Power	8/19 10/19	Municipality of Anchorage ("MOA") d/b/a Municipal Light and Power	U-18-102 U-19-020 U-19-021	Merger Standard for Approval		
Alberta Utilities Comn	nission	I	I	I		
Alberta Utilities (AltaLink, EPCOR, ATCO, ENMAX, FortisAlberta, AltaGas)	1/13	Alberta Utilities	Application 1566373, Proceeding ID 20	Stranded Costs		
Arizona Corporation C	ommissi	on	Į	Į		
Tucson Electric Power	7/12	Tucson Electric Power	E-01933A-12-0291	Cost of Capital		
UNS Energy and Fortis Inc.	1/14	UNS Energy, Fortis Inc.	E-04230A-00011 E- 01933A-14-0011	Merger		
British Columbia Utilities Commission						
FortisBC Energy	3/23	FortisBC Energy	G-28-23	Gas Rate Design		
California Energy Com	mission	l	1	I		
Southern California Gas Co.	8/80	Southern California Gas Co.	80-BR-3	Gas Price Forecasting		





SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT		
California Public Utility Commission						
Southern California Gas Co.	3/80	Southern California Gas Co.	TY 1981 G.R.C.	Cost of Service, Inflation		
Pacific Gas Transmission Co.	10/91 11/91	Pacific Gas & Electric Co.	Арр. 89-04-033	Rate Design		
Pacific Gas Transmission Co.	7/92	Southern California Gas Co.	A. 92-04-031	Rate Design		
San Diego Gas & Electric Company	4/19 8/19	San Diego Gas & Electric Company	A. 19-04-017	Risk Premium, Return on Equity		
Colorado Public Utilit	ies Comm	ission	<u> </u>			
AMAX Molybdenum	2/90	Commission Rulemaking	89R-702G	Gas Transportation		
AMAX Molybdenum	11/90	Commission Rulemaking	90R-508G	Gas Transportation		
Xcel Energy	8/04	Xcel Energy	031-134E	Cost of Debt		
Public Service Company of Colorado	6/17	Public Service Company of Colorado	17AL-0363G	Return on Equity (Gas)		
Connecticut Public Ut	ilities Reg	gulatory Authority	1	I		
Connecticut Natural Gas	12/88	Connecticut Natural Gas	88-08-15	Gas Purchasing Practices		
United Illuminating	3/99	United Illuminating	99-03-04	Nuclear Plant Valuation		
Southern Connecticut Gas	2/04	Southern Connecticut Gas	00-12-08	Gas Purchasing Practices		
Southern Connecticut Gas	4/05	Southern Connecticut Gas	05-03-17	LNG/Trunkline		
Southern Connecticut Gas	5/06	Southern Connecticut Gas	05-03-17PH01	LNG/Trunkline		
Southern Connecticut Gas	8/08	Southern Connecticut Gas	06-05-04	Peaking Service Agreement		



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
SJW Group and Connecticut Water Service	4/19	SJW Group and Connecticut Water Service	19-04-02	Customer Benefits, Public Interest
District of Columbia P	SC	<u> </u>	-	
Potomac Electric Power Company	3/99 5/99 7/99	Potomac Electric Power Company	945	Divestiture of Gen. Assets & Purchase Power Contracts
AltaGas Ltd./WGL Holdings	4/17 8/17 10/17	AltaGas Ltd./WGL Holdings	1142	Merger Standards, Public Interest Standard
Federal Energy Regula	atory Con	nmission		
Safe Harbor Water Power Corp.	8/82	Safe Harbor Water Power Corp.	-	Wholesale Electric Rate Increase
Western Gas Interstate Company	5/84	Western Gas Interstate Company	RP84-77	Load Forecast Working Capital
Southern Union Gas	4/87 5/87	El Paso Natural Gas Company	RP87-16-000	Take-or-Pay Costs
Connecticut Natural Gas	11/87	Penn-York Energy Corporation	RP87-78-000	Cost Allocation/Rate Design
AMAX Magnesium	12/88 1/89	Questar Pipeline Company	RP88-93-000	Cost Allocation/Rate Design
Western Gas Interstate Company	6/89	Western Gas Interstate Company	RP89-179-000	Cost Allocation/Rate Design, Open-Access Transportation
Associated CD Customers	12/89	CNG Transmission	RP88-211-000	Cost Allocation/Rate Design
Utah Industrial Group	9/90	Questar Pipeline Company	RP88-93-000, Phase II	Cost Allocation/Rate Design
Iroquois Gas Trans. System	8/90	Iroquois Gas Transmission System	CP89-634-000/001 CP89-815-000	Gas Markets, Rate Design, Cost of Capital, Capital Structure
Boston Edison Company	1/91	Boston Edison Company	ER91-243-000	Electric Generation Markets



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Cincinnati Gas and Electric Co., Union Light,	7/91	Texas Gas Transmission Corp.	RP90-104-000 RP88-115-000 RP90-192-000	Cost Allocation, Rate Design, Comparability of Service
Heat and Power Company, Lawrenceburg Gas Company				
Ocean State Power II	7/91	Ocean State Power II	ER89-563-000	Competitive Market Analysis, Self-dealing
Brooklyn Union/PSE&G	7/91	Texas Eastern	RP88-67, et al.	Market Power, Comparability of Service
Northern Distributor Group	9/92 11/92	Northern Natural Gas Company	RP92-1-000, et al.	Cost of Service
Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers and Alberta Pet. Marketing Comm.	10/92 7/97	Lakehead Pipeline Co. LP	IS92-27-000	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
Colonial Gas, Providence Gas	7/93 8/93	Algonquin Gas Transmission	RP93-14	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
Iroquois Gas Transmission	94	Iroquois Gas Transmission	RP94-72-000	Cost of Service, Rate Design
Transco Customer Group	1/94	Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corporation	RP92-137-000	Rate Design, Firm to Wellhead
Pacific Gas Transmission	2/94 3/95	Pacific Gas Transmission	RP94-149-000	Rolled-In vs. Incremental Rates, Rate Design
Tennessee GSR Group	1/95 3/95 1/96	Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company	RP93-151-000 RP94- 39-000 RP94-197-000 RP94-309-000	GSR Costs
PG&E and SoCal Gas	8/96 9/96	El Paso Natural Gas Company	RP92-18-000	Stranded Costs





SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Iroquois Gas Transmission System, LP	97	Iroquois Gas Transmission System, LP	RP97-126-000	Cost of Service, Rate Design
BEC Energy - Commonwealth Energy System	2/99	Boston Edison Company/ Commonwealth Energy System	EC99-33-000	Market Power Analysis – Merger
Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Consolidated Co. of New York, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Dynegy Power Inc.	10/00	Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Consolidated Co. of New York, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Dynegy Power Inc.	EC01-7-000	Market Power 203/205 Filing
Wyckoff Gas Storage	12/02	Wyckoff Gas Storage	CP03-33-000	Need for Storage Project
Indicated Shippers/Producers	10/03	Northern Natural Gas	RP98-39-029	Ad Valorem Tax Treatment
Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline	6/04	Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline	RP04-360-000	Rolled-In Rates
ISO New England	8/04 2/05	ISO New England	ER03-563-030	Cost of New Entry
Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC	9/06	Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC	RP06-614-000	Business Risk
Portland Natural Gas Transmission System	6/08	Portland Natural Gas Transmission System	RP08-306-000	Market Assessment, Natural Gas Transportation, Rate Setting
Portland Natural Gas Transmission System	5/10 3/11 4/11	Portland Natural Gas Transmission System	RP10-729-000	Business Risks, Extraordinary and Non-recurring Events Pertaining to Discretionary Revenues
Morris Energy	7/10	Morris Energy	RP10-79-000	Impact of Preferential Rate



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Gulf South Pipeline	10/14	Gulf South Pipeline	RP15-65-000	Business Risk, Rate Design
BNP Paribas Energy Trading, GP South Jersey Resources Group, LLC	2/15	Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corporation	RP06-569-008 RP07- 376-005	Regulatory Policy, Incremental Rates, Stacked Rate
Tallgrass Interstate Gas Transmission, LLC	10/15 12/15	Tallgrass Interstate Gas Transmission, LLC	RP16-137-000	Market Assessment, Rate Design, Rolled-in Rate Treatment
Tennessee Valley Authority	2/21 3/21	Athens Utility Board, Gibson Electric Membership Corp., Joe Wheeler Electric Membership Corp., and Volunteer Energy Cooperative v. Tennessee Valley Authority	EL21-40-000 TX21-01-000	Public Policy, Competition, Economic Harm
DCR Transmission, LLC	6/23	DCR Transmission, LLC	ER23-2309	Prudence, Force Majeure Events— Electric Transmission Project
Exelon Corporation American Electric Power Service Corporation	6/24 10/24	Exelon Corporation American Electric Power Service Corporation	ER24-2172	FERC Electric Transmission Rates and Interconnections
Florida Impact Estima	ting Conf	erence	1	1
Florida Power and Light Co. on behalf of the Florida Investor- Owned Utilities	2/19 3/19	Florida Power and Light Co. on behalf of the Florida Investor- Owned Utilities	Right to Competitive Energy Market for Customers of Investor-Owned Utilities; Allowing Energy Choice	Economic and Financial Impact of Deregulation on Customers and Market Design and Function





SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT		
Florida Public Service Commission						
Florida Power and Light Co.	10/07	Florida Power & Light Co.	070650-EI	Need for New Nuclear Plant		
Florida Power and Light Co.	5/08	Florida Power & Light Co.	080009-EI	New Nuclear Cost Recovery, Prudence		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/09 8/09	Florida Power & Light Co.	080677-EI	Benchmarking in Support of ROE		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/09 5/09 8/09	Florida Power & Light Co.	090009-EI	New Nuclear Cost Recovery, Prudence		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/10 5/10 8/10	Florida Power & Light Co.	100009-EI	New Nuclear Cost Recovery, Prudence		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/11 7/11	Florida Power & Light Co.	110009-EI	New Nuclear Cost Recovery, Prudence		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/12 7/12	Florida Power & Light Co.	120009-EI	New Nuclear Cost Recovery, Prudence		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/12 8/12	Florida Power & Light Co.	120015-EI	Benchmarking in Support of ROE		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/13 7/13	Florida Power & Light Co.	130009	New Nuclear Cost Recovery, Prudence		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/14	Florida Power & Light Co.	140009	New Nuclear Cost Recovery, Prudence		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/15 7/15	Florida Power & Light Co.	150009	New Nuclear Cost Recovery, Prudence		
Florida Power and Light Co.	10/15	Florida Power and Light Co.	150001	Recovery of Replacement Power Costs		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/16	Florida Power & Light Co.	160021-EI	Benchmarking in Support of ROE		
Florida Power and Light Co.	3/21 7/21	Florida Power & Light Co.	20210015-EI	Benchmarking in Support of ROE		



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Florida Power and Light Co.	2/25	Florida Power and Light Co.	20250011-EI	Benchmarking
Florida Senate Commi	ttee on C	ommunication, Energy,	and Utilities	
Florida Power and Light Co.	2/09	Florida Power & Light Co.	-	Securitization
Hawaiʻi Public Utility (Commissi	ion		
Hawaiian Electric Light Company, Inc.	6/00	Hawaiian Electric Light Company, Inc.	99-0207	Standby Charge
NextEra Energy, Inc. Hawaiian Electric Companies	4/15 8/15 10/15	Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc., Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc., Maui Electric Company, Ltd., NextEra Energy, Inc.	2015-0022	Merger Application
Idaho Public Utilities (Commissi	on	ł	
Hydro One Limited and Avista Corporation	9/18 11/18	Hydro One Limited and Avista Corporation	AVU-E-17-09 AVU-G-17-05	Governance, Financial Integrity, and Ring- fencing Merger Commitments
Illinois Commerce Con	nmission	L	I	L
Renewables Suppliers (Algonquin Power Co., EDP Renewables North America, Invenergy, NextEra Energy Resources)	3/14	Renewables Suppliers	13-0546	Application for Rehearing and Reconsideration, Long-term Purchase Power Agreements
WE Energies Corporation	8/14 12/14 2/15	WE Energies/Integrys	14-0496	Merger Application





SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Indiana Utility Regula	tory Com	mission	1	
Northern Indiana Public Service Company	10/01	Northern Indiana Public Service Company	41746	Valuation of Electric Generating Facilities
Northern Indiana Public Service Company	1/08 3/08	Northern Indiana Public Service Company	43396	Reasonableness of Plant Acquisition
Northern Indiana Public Service Company	8/08	Northern Indiana Public Service Company	43526	Fair Market Value Assessment
Indianapolis Power & Light Company	12/14	Indianapolis Power & Light Company	44576	Asset Valuation
Indianapolis Power & Light Company	12/16	Indianapolis Power & Light Company	44893	Rate Recovery for New Plant Additions, Valuation of Electric Generating Facilities
Indianapolis Power & Light Company D/B/A AES Indiana	8/21	Indianapolis Power & Light Company D/B/A AES Indiana	45591	Power Project Development and PPA Evaluation
Iowa Utilities Board			•	
Interstate Power and Light	7/05	Interstate Power and Light and FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC	SPU-05-15	Sale of Nuclear Plant
Interstate Power and Light	5/07	City of Everly, Iowa	SPU-06-5	Municipalization
Interstate Power and Light	5/07	City of Kalona, Iowa	SPU-06-6	Municipalization
Interstate Power and Light	5/07	City of Wellman, Iowa	SPU-06-10	Municipalization
Interstate Power and Light	5/07	City of Terril, Iowa	SPU-06-8	Municipalization
Interstate Power and Light	5/07	City of Rolfe, Iowa	SPU-06-7	Municipalization



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Kansas Corporation Co	ommissio)n		
Great Plains Energy Kansas City Power and Light Company	1/17	Great Plains Energy, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy	16-KCPE-593-ACQ	Merger Standards, Acquisition Premium, Ring-Fencing, Public Interest Standard
Great Plains Energy Kansas City Power and Light Company	8/17 2/18	Great Plains Energy, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy	18-KCPE-095-MER	Merger Standards, Transaction Value, Merger Benefits, Ring- Fencing,
Evergy Metro Evergy Kansas Central Evergy Kansas South	9/23	Evergy Metro d/b/a/ Evergy Kansas Metro ("EKM") & Evergy Kansas Central and Evergy Kansas South (collectively d/b/a as "EKC")	23-EKCE-775-RTS	Capital Structure, Rate of Return
Atmos Energy Corporation	12/23	Atmos Energy Corporation	24-GIMX-376-GIV	Confidentiality of Gas Contracts
Maine Public Utility Co	ommissio	n	1	
Northern Utilities	5/96	Granite State and PNGTS	95-480 95-481	Transportation Service and PBR
Maine Water Company	7/19 8/19	Maine Water Company	2019-00096	Merger Standards, Net Benefits to Customers, Ring-fencing
Maryland Public Servi	ce Comm	ission	1	
Eastalco Aluminum	3/82	Potomac Edison	7604	Cost Allocation
Potomac Electric Power Company	8/99	Potomac Electric Power Company	8796	Stranded Cost & Price Protection
AltaGas Ltd./WGL Holdings	4/17 9/17 1/18 2/18	AltaGas Ltd./WGL Holdings	9449	Merger Standards, Public Interest Standard
Washington Gas Light Company	8/20	Washington Gas Light Company	9622	Regulatory Policy



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Massachusetts Depart	ment of I	Public Utilities		- I
Haverhill Gas	5/82	Haverhill Gas	DPU #1115	Cost of Capital
New England Energy Group	1/87	Commission Investigation	-	Gas Transportation Rates
Energy Consortium of Mass.	9/87	Commonwealth Gas Company	DPU-87-122	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
Mass. Institute of Technology	12/88	Middleton Municipal Light	DPU #88-91	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
Energy Consortium of Mass.	3/89	Boston Gas	DPU #88-67	Rate Design
PG&E Bechtel Generating Co./ Constellation Holdings	10/91	Commission Investigation	DPU #91-131	Valuation of Environmental Externalities
Coalition of Non- Utility Generators	1991	Cambridge Electric Light Co. & Commonwealth Electric Co.	DPU 91-234 EFSC 91-4	Integrated Resource Management
The Berkshire Gas Company	5/92	The Berkshire Gas Company	DPU #92-154	Gas Purchase Contract Approval
Essex County Gas Company		Essex County Gas Company		
Fitchburg Gas and Elec. Light Co.		Fitchburg Gas & Elec. Light Co.		
Boston Edison Company	7/92	Boston Edison	DPU #92-130	Least-Cost Planning
Boston Edison Company	7/92	The Williams/Newcorp Generating Co.	DPU #92-146	RFP Evaluation
Boston Edison Company	7/92	West Lynn Cogeneration	DPU #92-142	RFP Evaluation
Boston Edison Company	7/92	L'Energia Corp.	DPU #92-167	RFP Evaluation



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Boston Edison Company	7/92	DLS Energy, Inc.	DPU #92-153	RFP Evaluation
Boston Edison Company	7/92	CMS Generation Co.	DPU #92-166	RFP Evaluation
Boston Edison Company	7/92	Concord Energy	DPU #92-144	RFP Evaluation
The Berkshire Gas Company Colonial Gas Company Essex County Gas Company Fitchburg Gas and Electric Company	11/93	The Berkshire Gas Company Colonial Gas Company Essex County Gas Company Fitchburg Gas and Electric Co.	DPU #93-187	Gas Purchase Contract Approval
Bay State Gas Company	10/93	Bay State Gas Company	93-129	Integrated Resource Planning
Boston Edison Company	94	Boston Edison	DPU #94-49	Surplus Capacity
Hudson Light & Power Department	4/95	Hudson Light & Power Dept.	DPU #94-176	Stranded Costs
Essex County Gas Company	5/96	Essex County Gas Company	96-70	Unbundled Rates
Boston Edison Company	8/97	Boston Edison Company	97-63	Holding Company Corporate Structure
Berkshire Gas Company	6/98	Berkshire Gas Mergeco Gas Co.	D.T.E. 98-87	Merger Approval
Eastern Edison Company	8/98	Montaup Electric Company	D.T.E. 98-83	Marketing for Divestiture of its Generation Business
Boston Edison Company	98	Boston Edison Company	D.T.E. 97-113	Fossil Generation Divestiture
Boston Edison Company	2/99	Boston Edison Company	D.T.E. 98-119	Nuclear Generation Divestiture



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Eastern Edison Company	12/98	Montaup Electric Company	D.T.E. 99-9	Sale of Nuclear Plant
NStar	9/07 12/07	NStar, Bay State Gas, Fitchburg G&E, NE Gas, W. MA Electric	DPU 07-50	Decoupling, Risk
NStar	6/11	NStar, Northeast Utilities	DPU 10-170	Merger Approval
Town of Milford	1/19 3/19 5/19	Milford Water Company	DPU 18-60	Valuation Analysis
Massachusetts Energy	Facilitie	s Siting Council		
Mass. Institute of Technology	1/89	M.M.W.E.C.	EFSC-88-1	Least-Cost Planning
Boston Edison Company	9/90	Boston Edison	EFSC-90-12	Electric Generation Markets
Silver City Energy Ltd. Partnership	11/91	Silver City Energy	D.P.U. 91-100	State Policies, Need for Facility
Michigan Public Servie	ce Commi	ission	•	
Detroit Edison Company	9/98	Detroit Edison Company	U-11726	Market Value of Generation Assets
Consumers Energy Company	8/06 1/07	Consumers Energy Company	U-14992	Sale of Nuclear Plant
WE Energies	12/11	Wisconsin Electric Power Co	U-16830	Economic Benefits, Prudence
Consumer Energy Company	7/13	Consumers Energy Company	U-17429	Certificate of Need, Integrated Resource Plan
WE Energies	8/14 3/15	WE Energies/Integrys	U-17682	Merger Application
Minnesota Public Utili	ties Com	mission	1	1
Xcel Energy/No. States Power	9/04	Xcel Energy/No. States Power	G002/GR-04-1511	NRG Impacts



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Interstate Power and Light	8/05	Interstate Power and Light and FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC	E001/PA-05-1272	Sale of Nuclear Plant
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy	11/05	Northern States Power Company	E002/GR-05-1428	NRG Impacts on Debt Costs
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy	9/06 10/06 11/06	NSP v. Excelsior	E6472/M-05-1993	PPA, Financial Impacts
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy	11/06	Northern States Power Company	G002/GR-06-1429	Return on Equity
Northern States Power	11/08 05/09	Northern States Power Company	E002/GR-08-1065	Return on Equity
Northern States Power	11/09 6/10	Northern States Power Company	G002/GR-09-1153	Return on Equity
Northern States Power	11/10 5/11	Northern States Power Company	E002/GR-10-971	Return on Equity
Northern States Power Company	1/16	Northern States Power Company	E002/GR-15-826	Industry Perspective
Northern States Power Company	11/19	Northern States Power Company	E002/GR-19-564	Return on Equity
CenterPoint Energy	10/21 1/22	CenterPoint Energy	G008/M-21-138 71-2500-37763	Prudence, Gas Purchasing Decisions
Missouri House Comm	nittee on 1	Energy and the Enviror	iment	-
Ameren Missouri	3/16	Ameren Missouri	HB 2816	Performance-Based Ratemaking
Missouri Public Servi	ce Commi	ssion	1	
Missouri Gas Energy	1/03 4/03	Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2001-382	Gas Purchasing Practices, Prudence



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Aquila Networks	2/04	Aquila-MPS, Aquila L&P	ER-2004-0034 HR-2004-0024	Cost of Capital, Capital Structure
Aquila Networks	2/04	Aquila-MPS, Aquila L&P	GR-2004-0072	Cost of Capital, Capital Structure
Missouri Gas Energy	11/05 2/06 7/06	Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2002-348 GR-2003-0330	Capacity Planning
Missouri Gas Energy	11/10 1/11	KCP&L	ER-2010-0355	Natural Gas DSM
Missouri Gas Energy	11/10 1/11	KCP&L GMO	ER-2010-0356	Natural Gas DSM
Laclede Gas Company	5/11	Laclede Gas Company	CG-2011-0098	Affiliate Pricing Standards
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	2/12 8/12	Union Electric Company	ER-2012-0166	Return on Equity, Earnings Attrition, Regulatory Lag
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	6/14	Noranda Aluminum Inc.	EC-2014-0223	Ratemaking, Regulatory, and Economic Policy
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	1/15 2/15	Union Electric Company	ER-2014-0258	Revenue Requirements, Ratemaking Policies
Great Plains Energy Kansas City Power and Light Company	8/17 2/18 3/18	Great Plains Energy, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy	EM-2018-0012	Merger Standards, Transaction Value, Merger Benefits, Ring- Fencing,
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	6/19	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	EO-2017-0176	Affiliate Transactions, Cost Allocation Manual
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	7/19 1/20 2/20	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	ER-2019-0335	Reasonableness of Affiliate Services and Costs
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	3/21	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	GR-2021-0241	Affiliate Transactions





SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	3/21 10/21	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	ER-2021-0240	Affiliate Transactions, Prudence Standard, Used and Useful Principle
Empire District Electric Company	5/21 12/21 1/22	Empire District Electric Company	ER-2021-0312	Return on Equity
Empire District Gas Company	8/21 3/22	Empire District Gas Company	GR-2021-0320	Return on Equity
Empire District Electric Company	5/22	Empire District Electric Company	E0-2022-0040 E0-2022-0193	Prudence Policy, Securitization
Evergy Missouri West	7/22	Evergy Missouri West	EF-2022-0155	Regulatory Policy, Securitization of Fuel, and Purchased Power Costs
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	8/22 2/23 3/23	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	ER-2022-0337	Affiliate Transactions, Prudence Standard
Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West	8/22	Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West	ER-2022-0129 ER-2022-0130	Prudence Standard
Evergy Missouri West	11/23	Evergy Missouri West	EA-2023-0291	Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for Resource Acquisition
Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West	11/23 12/23 1/24	Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West	EO-2023-0276 EO-2023-0277	Prudence, Resource Planning
Ameren Missouri	11/23 3/24	Ameren Missouri	EF-2024-0021	Prudence Standard, Securitization
Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty	11/24	Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty	ER-2024-0261	Fuel Adjustment Clause Structure
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	1/25	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	ER-2024-0319	Prudence Standard



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT			
Missouri Senate Comn	Missouri Senate Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, Energy and the Environment						
Ameren Missouri	3/16	Ameren Missouri	SB 1028	Performance-Based Ratemaking			
Montana Public Servic	Montana Public Service Commission						
Great Falls Gas Company	10/82	Great Falls Gas Company	82-4-25	Gas Rate Adjustment Clause			
National Energy Board	l (now th	e Canada Energy Regula	itor)	I			
Alberta Northeast	2/87	Alberta Northeast Gas Export Project	GH-1-87	Gas Export Markets			
Alberta Northeast	11/87	TransCanada Pipeline	GH-2-87	Gas Export Markets			
Alberta Northeast	1/90	TransCanada Pipeline	GH-5-89	Gas Export Markets			
Independent Petroleum Association of Canada	1/92	Interprovincial Pipeline, Inc.	RH-2-91	Pipeline Valuation, Toll			
The Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers	11/93	Trans Mountain Pipeline	RH-1-93	Cost of Capital			
Alliance Pipeline LP	6/97	Alliance Pipeline LP	GH-3-97	Market Study			
Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline	97	Sable Offshore Energy Project	GH-6-96	Market Study			
Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline	2/02	Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline	GH-3-2002	Natural Gas Demand Analysis			
TransCanada Pipelines	8/04	TransCanada Pipelines	RH-3-2004	Toll Design			
Brunswick Pipeline	5/06	Brunswick Pipeline	GH-1-2006	Market Study			
TransCanada Pipelines Ltd.	12/06 4/07	TransCanada Pipelines Ltd.: Gros Cacouna Receipt Point Application	RH-1-2007	Toll Design			
Repsol Energy Canada Ltd	3/08	Repsol Energy Canada Ltd	GH-1-2008	Market Study			



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline	7/10	Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline	RH-4-2010	Regulatory Policy, Toll Development
TransCanada Pipelines Ltd	9/11 5/12	TransCanada Pipelines Ltd.	RH-3-2011	Business Services and Tolls Application
Trans Mountain Pipeline LLC	6/12 1/13	Trans Mountain Pipeline LLC	RH-001-2012	Toll Design
TransCanada Pipelines Ltd	8/13	TransCanada Pipelines Ltd	RE-001-2013	Toll Design
NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd	11/13	NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd	OF-Fac-Gas-N081- 2013-10 01	Toll Design
Trans Mountain Pipeline LLC	12/13	Trans Mountain Pipeline LLC	OF-Fac-Oil-T260- 2013-03 01	Economic and Financial Feasibility, Project Benefits
Energy East Pipeline Ltd.	10/14	Energy East Pipeline	Of-Fac-Oil-E266- 2014-01 02	Economic and Financial Feasibility, Project Benefits
NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd	5/16	NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd	GH-003-2015	Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity
TransCanada PipeLines Limited	4/17 9/17	TransCanada PipeLines Limited	RH-003-2017	Public Interest, Toll Design
NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd	10/17	NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd	MH-031-2017	Toll Design
NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd	3/19 11/19	NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd	RH-001-2019	Tolling Changes
Enbridge Pipelines Inc.	12/19 6/20 8/20 4/21	Enbridge Pipelines Inc.	RH-001-2020	Market and Scarcity Conditions; Reasonableness of Tolls, Terms, and Conditions; Public Interest; Open Season Process
NOVA Gas Transmission LTD.	5/21 12/21	NOVA Gas Transmission LTD.	RH-001-2021	Toll Design



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd South Bow GP LTD (2024 filing)	6/22 10/24	TransCanada Keystone Pipeline Limited Partnership by its General Partner TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd	RH-005-2020	Toll Design
CNOOC Marketing Canada	8/22	CNOOC Marketing Canada	RH-001-2022	Open-Access Issues
Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC	12/23	Trans Mountain Pipeline ULC as general partner of Trans Mountain Pipeline L.P.	RH-002-2023	Pipeline Tolling; Prudence
Nova Gas Transmission LTD	12/23	Nova Gas Transmission LTD	RH-003-2023	Toll Design
New Brunswick Energ	y and Uti	lities Board	1	
Atlantic Wallboard/JD Irving Co	1/08	Enbridge Gas New Brunswick	MCTN #298600	Rate Setting for EGNB
Atlantic Wallboard/Flakeboar d	9/09 6/10 7/10	Enbridge Gas New Brunswick	NBEUB 2009-017	Rate Setting for EGNB
Atlantic Wallboard/Flakeboar d	1/14	Enbridge Gas New Brunswick	NBEUB Matter 225	Rate Setting for EGNB
New Hampshire Public	c Utilities	Commission		1
Bus & Industry Association	6/89	P.S. Co. of New Hampshire	DR89-091	Fuel Costs
Bus & Industry Association	5/90	Northeast Utilities	DR89-244	Merger & Acquisition Issues
Eastern Utilities Associates	6/90	Eastern Utilities Associates	DF89-085	Merger & Acquisition Issues
EnergyNorth Natural Gas	12/90	EnergyNorth Natural Gas	DE90-166	Gas Purchasing Practices



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
EnergyNorth Natural Gas	7/90	EnergyNorth Natural Gas	DR90-187	Special Contracts, Discounted Rates
Northern Utilities, Inc.	12/91	Commission Investigation	DR91-172	Generic Discounted Rates
Public Service Co. of New Hampshire	7/14	Public Service Co. of NH	DE 11-250	Prudence
Public Service Co. of New Hampshire	7/15 11/15	Public Service Co. of NH	14-238	Restructuring and Rate Stabilization
New Jersey Board of P	ublic Util	ities	1	-1
Hilton/Golden Nugget	12/83	Atlantic Electric	BPU 832-154	Line Extension Policies
Golden Nugget	3/87	Atlantic Electric	BPU 837-658	Line Extension Policies
New Jersey Natural Gas	2/89	New Jersey Natural Gas	BPU GR89030335J	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
New Jersey Natural Gas	1/91	New Jersey Natural Gas	BPU GR90080786J	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
New Jersey Natural Gas	8/91	New Jersey Natural Gas	BPU GR91081393J	Rate Design, Weather Normalization Clause
New Jersey Natural Gas	4/93	New Jersey Natural Gas	BPU GR93040114J	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
South Jersey Gas	4/94	South Jersey Gas	BRC Dock No. GR080334	Revised Levelized Gas Adjustment
New Jersey Utilities Association	9/96	Commission Investigation	BPU AX96070530	PBOP Cost Recovery
Morris Energy Group	11/09	Public Service Electric & Gas	BPU GR 09050422	Discriminatory Rates
New Jersey American Water Co.	4/10	New Jersey American Water Co.	BPU WR 1040260	Tariff Rates and Revisions
Electric Customer Group	1/11	Generic Stakeholder Proceeding	BPU GR10100761 ER10100762	Natural Gas Ratemaking Standards and Pricing



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
New Mexico Public Re	gulation	Commission		
Gas Company of New Mexico	11/83	Public Service Co. of New Mexico	1835	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
Southwestern Public Service Co., New Mexico	12/12	SPS New Mexico	12-00350-UT	Rate Case, Return on Equity
PNM Resources	12/13 10/14 12/14	Public Service Co. of New Mexico	13-00390-UT	Nuclear Valuation, In Support of Stipulation
New Mexico Gas Company	12/22 11/23	New Mexico Gas Company	22-00309-UT	Certificate of Need for LNG Storage Facility
New York State Public	c Service (Commission		
Iroquois Gas Transmission	12/86	Iroquois Gas Transmission System	70363	Gas Markets
Brooklyn Union Gas Company	8/95	Brooklyn Union Gas Company	95-6-0761	Panel on Industry Directions
Central Hudson, ConEdison, and Niagara Mohawk	9/00	Central Hudson, ConEdison, and Niagara Mohawk	96-E-0909 96-E-0897 94-E-0098 94-E-0099	Section 70, Approval of New Facilities
Central Hudson, New York State Electric & Gas, Rochester Gas & Electric	5/01	Joint Petition of NMPC, NYSEG, RG&E, Central Hudson, Constellation, and Nine Mile Point	01-E-0011	Section 70, Rebuttal Testimony
Rochester Gas & Electric	12/03	Rochester Gas & Electric	03-E-1231	Sale of Nuclear Plant
Rochester Gas & Electric	1/04	Rochester Gas & Electric	03-E-0765 02-E-0198 03-E-0766	Sale of Nuclear Plant; Ratemaking Treatment of Sale
Rochester Gas and Electric and NY State Electric & Gas Corp	2/10	Rochester Gas & Electric NY State Electric & Gas Corp	09-E-0715 09-E-0716 09-E-0717 09-E-0718	Depreciation Policy



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
National Fuel Gas Corporation	9/16 9/16	National Fuel Gas Corporation	16-G-0257	Ring-fencing Policy
NextEra Energy Transmission New York	8/18	NextEra Energy Transmission New York	18-T-0499	Certificate of Need for Transmission Line, Vertical Market Power
NextEra Energy Transmission New York	2/19 8/19	NextEra Energy Transmission New York	18-E-0765	Certificate of Need for Transmission Line, Vertical Market Power
North Carolina Publi	c Utilities (Commission		1
Enbridge Parrot Holdings LLC	11/23	Enbridge Parrot Holdings LLC	G-5 SUB 667	Merger Approval, Market Power
Nova Scotia Utility ar	nd Review	Board		
Nova Scotia Power	9/12	Nova Scotia Power	P-893	Audit Reply
Nova Scotia Power	8/14	Nova Scotia Power	P-887	Audit Reply
Nova Scotia Power	5/16	Nova Scotia Power	2017-2019 Fuel Stability Plan	Used and Useful Ratemaking
NSP Maritime Link ("NSPML")	12/16 2/17 5/17	NSP Maritime Link ("NSPML")	M07718 NSPML Interim Cost Assessment Application	Used and Useful Ratemaking
NSP Maritime Link ("NSPML")	10/19	NSP Maritime Link ("NSPML")	M09277 NSPML 2020 Interim Assessment Application	Recovery of Depreciation and Return, Costs and Customer Benefits, Debt Service Coverage Ratio
Nova Scotia Power	2/21	Nova Scotia Power	M10013 Annapolis Tidal Generation Station Retirement: Request for Accounting Treatment and Net Book Value Recovery	Generation Plant Cost Recovery



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
NSP Maritime Link ("NSPML")	8/21	NSP Maritime Link ("NSPML")	M10206 NSPML Final Cost Assessment Application	Prudence Review
Nova Scotia Power	1/22 8/22	Nova Scotia Power	M10431 2022-2024 General Rate Application	Decarbonization Policy, Recovery of Energy Transition Costs
NSP Maritime Link ("NSPML")	6/23	NSP Maritime Link ("NSPML")	M11009 Holdback Proceeding	Ratemaking Treatment of Transmission Project Costs
Nova Scotia Power	9/24	Nova Scotia Power	M11150 Appeal of Minister's Decision pursuant to s. 48 of the Renewable Electricity Regulations made under s. 5 of the Electricity Act	Renewable Energy Standard Compliance
Oklahoma Corporation	n Commi	ssion	<u> </u>	1
Oklahoma Natural Gas Company	6/98	Oklahoma Natural Gas Company	PUD 980000177	Storage Issues
Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company	5/05 9/05	Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company	PUD 200500151	Prudence of McLain Acquisition
Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company	3/08	Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company	PUD 200800086	Acquisition of Redbud Generating Facility
Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company	8/14 1/15	Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company	PUD 201400229	Integrated Resource Plan
Ontario Energy Board		l	I	
Market Hub Partners Canada, LP	5/06	Natural Gas Electric Interface Roundtable	File No. EB-2005- 0551	Market-based Rates for Storage
Ontario Power Generation	9/13 2/14 5/14	Ontario Power Generation	EB-2013-0321	Prudence Review of Nuclear Project Management Processes



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Oregon Public Utilities	s Commis	sion	1	I
Hydro One Limited and Avista Corporation	8/18 10/18	Hydro One Limited and Avista Corporation	UM 1897	Reasonableness and Sufficiency of the Governance, Bankruptcy, and Financial Ring- Fencing Stipulated Settlement Commitments
Pennsylvania Public U	Itility Con	nmission	·	· ·
АТОС	4/95	Equitrans	R-00943272	Rate Design, Unbundling
АТОС	3/96 4/96	Equitrans	P-00940886	Rate Design, Unbundling
Rhode Island Public U	tilities Co	ommission		
Newport Electric	7/81	Newport Electric	1599	Rate Attrition
South County Gas	9/82	South County Gas	1671	Cost of Capital
New England Energy Group	7/86	Providence Gas Company	1844	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
Providence Gas	8/88	Providence Gas Company	1914	Load Forecast, Least- Cost Planning
Providence Gas Company and The Valley Gas Company	1/01 3/02	Providence Gas Company and The Valley Gas Company	1673 1736	Gas Cost Mitigation Strategy
The New England Gas Company	3/03	New England Gas Company	3459	Cost of Capital
PPL Corporation and PPL Rhode Island Holdings, LLC	11/21	PPL Corporation, PPL Rhode Island Holdings, LLC, National Grid USA, and The Narragansett Electric Company	21-09	Merger Approval Issues
Texas Public Utility Co	ommissio	n	1	
Southwestern Electric	5/83	Southwestern Electric	-	Cost of Capital, CWIP





SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
P.U.C. General Counsel	11/90	Texas Utilities Electric Company	9300	Gas Purchasing Practices, Prudence
Oncor Electric Delivery Company	8/07	Oncor Electric Delivery Company	34040	Regulatory Policy, Rate of Return, Return of Capital, and Consolidated Tax Adjustment
Oncor Electric Delivery Company	6/08	Oncor Electric Delivery Company	35717	Regulatory policy
Oncor Electric Delivery Company	10/08 11/08	Oncor, TCC, TNC, ETT, LCRA TSC, Sharyland, STEC, TNMP	35665	Competitive Renewable Energy Zone
CenterPoint Energy	6/10 10/10	CenterPoint Energy/Houston Electric	38339	Regulatory Policy, Risk, Consolidated Taxes
Oncor Electric Delivery Company	1/11	Oncor Electric Delivery Company	38929	Regulatory Policy, Risk
Cross Texas Transmission	8/12 11/12	Cross Texas Transmission	40604	Return on Equity
Southwestern Public Service	11/12	Southwestern Public Service	40824	Return on Equity
Lone Star Transmission	5/14	Lone Star Transmission	42469	Return on Equity, Debt, Cost of Capital
CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC	6/15	CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC	44572	Distribution Cost Recovery Factor
NextEra Energy, Inc.	10/16 2/17	Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, NextEra Energy	46238	Merger Application, Ring-fencing, Affiliate Interest, Code of Conduct
CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC	4/19 6/19	CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC	49421	Incentive Compensation



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Sun Jupiter Holdings LLC and IIF US Holding 2 LP	11/19	Sun Jupiter Holdings LLC and IIF US Holding 2 LP Acquisition of El Paso Electric Company	49849	Public Interest Standard, Ring- fencing, Regulatory Commitments, Rate Credit and Economic Considerations, Ownership and Governance Post- closing, Tax Matters
Texas-New Mexico Power Company and Avangrid, Inc. and NM Green Holdings, Inc.	3/21	Texas-New Mexico Power Company and Avangrid, Inc. and NM Green Holdings, Inc.	51547	Merger Approval Conditions
Texas Railroad Comm	ission			
Western Gas Interstate Company	1/85	Southern Union Gas Company	5238	Cost of Service
Atmos Pipeline Texas	9/10 1/11	Atmos Pipeline Texas	GUD 10000	Ratemaking Policy, Risk
Atmos Pipeline Texas	1/17 4/17	Atmos Pipeline Texas	GUD 10580	Ratemaking Policy, Return on Equity, Rate Design Policy
Atmos Pipeline Texas	5/23 9/23	Atmos Pipeline Texas	GUD 13758	Gas Pipeline Risk Evaluation
Texas State Legislatur	e	l	1	I
CenterPoint Energy	4/13	Association of Electric Companies of Texas	SB 1364	Consolidated Tax Adjustment Clause Legislation
Utah Public Service Co	ommissio	n		
AMAX Magnesium	1/88	Mountain Fuel Supply Company	86-057-07	Cost Allocation, Rate Design
AMAX Magnesium	4/88	Utah P&L/Pacific P&L	87-035-27	Merger & Acquisition
Utah Industrial Group	7/90 8/90	Mountain Fuel Supply	89-057-15	Gas Transportation Rates



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
AMAX Magnesium	9/90	Utah Power & Light	89-035-06	Energy Balancing Account
AMAX Magnesium	8/90	Utah Power & Light	90-035-06	Electric Service Priorities
Questar Gas Company	12/07	Questar Gas Company	07-057-13	Benchmarking in Support of ROE
Vermont Public Servio	e Board	I	1	ł
Green Mountain Power	8/82	Green Mountain Power	4570	Rate Attrition
Green Mountain Power	12/97	Green Mountain Power	5983	Cost of Service
Green Mountain Power	7/98 9/00	Green Mountain Power	6107	Rate Development
Virginia Corporation (Commissi	on	1	
Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Virginia	3/21 5/21 10/21	Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Virginia	PUR-2021-00058	Regulatory Policy
Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Virginia	7/23 8/23	Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Virginia	PUR-2023-00112	Securitization of Fuel Costs
Washington Utilities a	nd Trans	portation Commission	1	
Hydro One Limited and Avista Corporation	9/18	Hydro One Limited and Avista Corporation	U-170970	Reasonableness and Sufficiency of the Governance, Bankruptcy, and Financial Ring- Fencing Stipulated Settlement Commitments



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT	
Wisconsin Public Service Commission					
WEC & WICOR	11/99	WEC	9401-YO-100 9402-YO-101	Merger Approval to Acquire the Stock of WICOR	
Wisconsin Electric Power Company	1/07	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	6630-EI-113	Sale of Nuclear Plant	
Wisconsin Electric Power Company	10/09	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	6630-CE-302	CPCN Application for Wind Project	
Northern States Power Wisconsin	10/13	Xcel Energy (dba Northern States Power Wisconsin)	4220-UR-119	Fuel Cost Adjustments	
Wisconsin Electric Power Company	11/13	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.	6630-FR-104	Fuel Cost Adjustment	
Wisconsin Gas LLC	5/14	Wisconsin Gas LLC	6650-CG-233	Gas Line Expansion, Reasonableness	
WE Energy	8/14 1/15 3/15	WE Energy/Integrys	9400-YO-100	Merger Approval	
Wisconsin Public Service Corporation	1/19	Madison Gas and Electric Company and Wisconsin Public Service Corporation	5-BS-228	Evaluation of Models Used in Resource Investment Decisions	





SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT		
American Arbitration Association						
Michael Polsky	3/91	M. Polsky vs. Indeck Energy	-	Corporate Valuation, Damages		
ProGas Limited	7/92	ProGas Limited v. Texas Eastern	-	Gas Contract Arbitration		
Attala Generating Company	12/03	Attala Generating Co v. Attala Energy Co.	16-Y-198-00228-03	Power Project Valuation, Breach of Contract, Damages		
Nevada Power Company	4/08	Nevada Power v. Nevada Cogeneration Assoc. #2	-	Power Purchase Agreement		
Sensata Technologies, Inc./EMS Engineered Materials Solutions, LLC	1/11	Sensata Technologies, Inc./EMS Engineered Materials Solutions, LLC v. Pepco Energy Services	11-198-Y-00848-10	Change in Usage Dispute, Damages		
Sandy Creek Energy Associates, LP	9/17	Sandy Creek Energy Associates, LP vs. Lower Colorado River Authority	01-16-0002-6892	Power Purchase Agreement, Analysis of Damages		
Dynegy Midwest Generation, LLC	1/21 2/21	BNSF Railway Company and Norfolk Southern Railway Company v. Dynegy Midwest Generation, LLC	01-18-0001-3283	Electric Generation Asset Management		
Bermuda Supreme Court, Civil Jurisdiction						
Bermuda Electric Light Company Limited	12/22 1/23	Bermuda Electric Light Company Limited v. The Regulatory Authority of Bermuda	2022: NO. 97	Ratemaking Practices and Policy		



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Canadian Arbitration	Panel			
Hydro-Québec	4/15 5/16 7/16	Hydro-Fraser et al v. Hydro-Québec	-	Electric Price Arbitration
Commonwealth of Mas	ssachuse	tts, Appellate Tax Board		
NStar Electric Company	8/14	NStar Electric Company	F316346 F319254	Valuation Methodology
Western Massachusetts Electric Company	2/16	Western Massachusetts Electric Company v. Board of Assessors of The City of Springfield	315550 319349	Valuation Methodology
Commonwealth of Mas	ssachuse	tts, Suffolk Superior Cou	ırt	
John Hancock	1/84	Trinity Church v. John Hancock	C.A. No. 4452	Damages Quantification
Court of Common Plea	s of Phila	delphia County, Civil Di	vision	
Sunoco Marketing & Terminals LP	11/16	Sunoco Marketing & Terminals, LP v. South Jersey Resources Group	150302520	Damages Quantification
District of Columbia, C	Committe	e on Consumer and Reg	ulatory Affairs	
Potomac Electric Power Co.	7/99	Potomac Electric Power Co.	Bill 13-284	Utility Restructuring
Illinois Appellate Cour	rt, Fifth D	ivision	<u> </u>	
Norweb, PLC	8/02	Indeck North America v. Norweb	97 CH 07291	Breach of Contract, Power Plant Valuation
Independent Arbitrati	ion Panel		I	
Alberta Northeast Gas Limited	2/98	ProGas Ltd., Canadian Forest Oil Ltd., AEC Oil & Gas	-	
Ocean State Power	9/02	Ocean State Power vs. ProGas Ltd.	2001/2002 Arbitration	Gas Price Arbitration



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Ocean State Power	2/03	Ocean State Power vs. ProGas Ltd.	2002/2003 Arbitration	Gas Price Arbitration
Ocean State Power	6/04	Ocean State Power vs. ProGas Ltd.	2003/2004 Arbitration	Gas Price Arbitration
Shell Canada Limited	7/05	Shell Canada Limited and Nova Scotia Power Inc.	-	Gas Contract Price Arbitration
International Chambe	er of Com	merce	1	
Senvion GmbH	4/17	Senvion GmbH v. EDF Renewable Energy, Inc.	01-15-0005-4590	Breach-Related Damages, Unfair Competition, Unjust Enrichment
Senvion GmbH	9/17	Senvion GmbH v. EEN CA Lac Alfred Limited Partnership, et al.	21535	Breach-Related Damages
Senvion GmbH	12/17	Senvion GmbH v. EEN CA Massif du Sud Limited Partnership, et al.	21536	Breach-Related Damages
EDF Inc.	3/21	Exelon Generating Company, LLC v. EDF Inc.	25479/MK	Valuation of Nuclear Power Plants
International Court of	f Arbitrat	ion	1	
Wisconsin Gas Company, Inc.	2/97	Wisconsin Gas Co. vs. Pan-Alberta	9322/CK	Contract Arbitration
Minnegasco, A Division of NorAm Energy Corp.	3/97	Minnegasco vs. Pan- Alberta	9357/CK	Contract Arbitration
Utilicorp United Inc.	4/97	Utilicorp vs. Pan- Alberta	9373/CK	Contract Arbitration
IES Utilities	97	IES vs. Pan-Alberta	9374/CK	Contract Arbitration



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT		
Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., and Mitsubishi Nuclear Energy Systems, Inc.	12/15 2/16	Southern California Edison Company, Edison Material Supply LLC, San Diego Gas & Electric Co., and the City of Riverside vs. Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd., and Mitsubishi Nuclear Energy Systems, Inc.	19784/AGF/RD	Damages Arising Under a Nuclear Power Equipment Contract		
Province of Alberta, Co	ourt of Qu	ieen's Bench	•			
Alberta Northeast Gas Limited	5/07	Cargill Gas Marketing Ltd. vs. Alberta Northeast Gas Limited	Action No. 0501- 03291	Gas Contracting Practices		
Quebec Superior Cour	t, Distric	t of Gaspé		·		
Senvion Canada and Senvion GmbH	2/19	Senvion Canada and Senvion GmbH v. Suspendem Rope Access	-	Breach-Related Damages, Reimbursement of Liquidated Damages, Reimbursement of Scheduled Maintenance Penalties		
State of Delaware, Cou	rt of Cha	ncery, New Castle Count	y			
Wilmington Trust Company	11/05	Calpine Corporation vs. Bank of New York and Wilmington Trust Company	C.A. No. 1669-N	Bond Indenture Covenants		
State of New Jersey, M	State of New Jersey, Mercer County Superior Court					
Transamerica Corp., et al.	7/07 10/07	IMO Industries Inc. vs. Transamerica Corp., et al.	L-2140-03	Breach-Related Damages, Enterprise Value		





SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT		
State of New York, Nassau County Supreme Court						
Steel Los III, LP	6/08	Steel Los II, LP & Associated Brook, Corp v. Power Authority of State of NY	Index No. 5662/05	Property Seizure		
State of New Hampsh	ire, Board	of Tax and Land Appea	ls	I		
Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy	11/18	Appeal of Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy	28873-14-15-16- 17PT	Valuation of Transmission and Distribution Assets		
State of New Hampsh	ire, Judici	al Court-Rockingham S	uperior Court	•		
Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy	10/18	Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy v. City of Portsmouth	218-2016-CV-00899 218-2017-CV-00917	Valuation of Transmission and Distribution Assets		
State of New Hampsh	ire, Super	ior Court-Merrimack Co	ounty	1		
Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy	3/18	Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy v. Town of Bow	217-2015-CV-00469 217-2016-CV-00474 217-2017-CV-00422	Valuation of Transmission and Distribution Assets		





SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
State of North Dakota,	District	Court-South Central Jud	icial District, Morton C	ounty
Greenpeace International; Greenpeace, Inc.; and Greenpeace Fund ("Greenpeace")	1/24 3/24	Energy Transfer LP (formerly known as Energy Transfer Equity, L.P.); Energy Transfer Operating, L.P. (formerly known as Energy Transfer Partners, L.P.); and Dakota Access LLC v. Greenpeace International (also known as "Stichting Greenpeace Council"); Greenpeace Fund, Inc.; Red Warrior Society (also known as "Red Warrior Camp"); Cody Hall; Krystal Two Bulls; and Charles Brown	30-2019-CV-00180	Oil Pipeline Financing Process
State of Rhode Island,	Provider	ice City Court		
Aquidneck Energy	5/87	Laroche vs. Newport	-	Least-Cost Planning
State of Texas, Hutchin	nson Cou	nty Court	I	1
Western Gas Interstate	5/85	State of Texas vs. Western Gas Interstate Co.	14,843	Cost of Service
State of Utah, Third Di	strict Co	ırt	·	
PacifiCorp & Holme, Roberts & Owen, LLP	1/07	USA Power & Spring Canyon Energy vs. PacifiCorp. et al.	Civil No. 050903412	Breach-Related Damages
U.S. Bankruptcy Court	, New Ha	mpshire District		
EUA Power Corporation	7/92	EUA Power Corporation	BK-91-10525-JEY	Pre-Petition Solvency



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
U.S. Bankruptcy Court	t, New Jer	sey District		
Ponderosa Pine Energy Partners, Ltd.	7/05	Ponderosa Pine Energy Partners, Ltd.	05-21444	Forward Contract Bankruptcy Treatment
U.S. Bankruptcy Court	t, New Yoı	rk Northern District	I	I
Cayuga Energy, NYSEG Solutions, The Energy Network	09/09	Cayuga Energy, NYSEG Solutions, The Energy Network	06-60073-6-sdg	Going Concern
U.S. Bankruptcy Court	t, New Yoı	rk Southern District		1
Johns Manville	5/04	Enron Energy Mktg. v. Johns Manville; Enron No. America v. Johns Manville	01-16034 (AJG)	Breach of Contract, Damages
U.S. Bankruptcy Court	t, Texas N	orthern District	L	ł
Southern Maryland Electric Cooperative, Inc., and Potomac Electric Power Company	11/04	Mirant Corporation, et al. v. SMECO	03-4659; Adversary No. 04-4073	PPA Interpretation, Leasing
U.S. Bankruptcy Court	t, Texas So	outhern District	<u> </u>	
Ultra Petroleum Corp. et al.	3/17	Ultra Petroleum Corp. et al.	16-32202 (MI)	Valuation
Alta Mesa Resources, Inc., et al., (Debtors)	8/23 11/23	David Dunn, as Trustee of the AMH Litigation Trust, v. Harlan H. Chappelle, Michael E. Ellis, Tim J. Turner	Case No. 19-35133	Reasonable Conduct
U.S. Court of Federal (Claims	I		Į
Boston Edison Company	7/06 11/06	Boston Edison Company v. United States	99-447C 03-2626C	Spent Nuclear Fuel Breach, Damages
Consolidated Edison Company	7/07	Consolidated Edison Company	06-305T	Evaluation of Lease Purchase Option



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
Consolidated Edison Company	2/08 6/08	Consolidated Edison Company v. United States	04-0033C	Spent Nuclear Fuel Breach, Damages
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation	6/08	Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation v. United States	03-2663C	Spent Nuclear Fuel Breach, Damages
Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion Virginia Power	3/19	Virginia Electric and Power Company d/b/a Dominion Virginia Power v. United States	17-464C	Double Recovery, Cost Recovery of Infrastructure Improvements
Boston Edison Company	3/23	Boston Edison Company v. United States	20-529C, 22-771C (Consolidated)	Spent Nuclear Fuel Damages
U. S. District Court, Ca	lifornia, N	lorthern		
Pacific Gas & Electric Co./PGT PG&E/PGT Pipeline Exp. Project	4/97	Norcen Energy Resources Limited	C94-0911 VRW	Fraud Claim
U. S. District Court, Co	lorado, B	oulder County		
KN Energy, Inc.	3/93	KN Energy vs. Colorado GasMark, Inc.	92 CV 1474	Gas Contract Interpretation
U.S. District Court, Col	orado, Ga	rfield County		
Questar Corporation, et al.	11/00	Questar Corporation, et al.	00CV129-A	Partnership Fiduciary Duties
U. S. District Court, Co	nnecticut			
Constellation Power Source, Inc.	12/04	Constellation Power Source, Inc. v. Select Energy, Inc.	Civil Action 304 CV 983 (RNC)	ISO Structure, Breach of Contract



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT
U.S. District Court, Illin	nois, Nort	hern District, Eastern D	ivision	
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission	4/12	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission v. Thomas Fisher, Kathleen Halloran, and George Behrens	07 C 4483	Prudence, PBR
U. S. District Court, Ma	ine			l
ACEC Maine, Inc. et al.	10/91	CIT Financial vs. ACEC Maine	90-0304-B	Project Valuation
Combustion Engineering	1/92	Combustion Eng. vs. Miller Hydro	89-0168P	Output Modeling, Project Valuation
U. S. District Court, Ma	ssachuse	tts		
Eastern Utilities Associates & Donald F. Pardus	3/94	NECO Enterprises Inc. vs. Eastern Utilities Associates	Civil Action No. 92- 10355-RCL	Seabrook Power Sales
U. S. District Court, Mo	ntana	I	I	I
KN Energy, Inc.	9/92	KN Energy v. Freeport MacMoRan	CV 91-40-BLG-RWA	Gas Contract Settlement
U.S. District Court, Nev	v Hampsl	nire	L	I
Portland Natural Gas Transmission and Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline	9/03	Public Service Company of New Hampshire vs. PNGTS and M&NE Pipeline	С-02-105-В	Impairment of Electric Transmission Right- of-Way
U. S. District Court, New	w York So	outhern District	L	I
Central Hudson Gas & Electric	11/99 8/00	Central Hudson v. Riverkeeper, Inc., Robert H. Boyle, John J. Cronin	Civil Action 99 Civ 2536 (BDP)	Electric Restructuring, Environmental Impacts
Consolidated Edison	3/02	Consolidated Edison v. Northeast Utilities	Case No. 01 Civ. 1893 (JGK) (HP)	Industry Standards for Due Diligence



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET NO.	SUBJECT			
Merrill Lynch & Company	1/05	Merrill Lynch v. Allegheny Energy, Inc.	Civil Action 02 CV 7689 (HB)	Due Diligence, Breach of Contract, Damages			
U.S. District Court, South Carolina							
Toshiba Corporation	4/20	Lightsey v. Toshiba Corp.	Action No. 9:18-cv- 190	Project Delays and Cost Overruns Analyses			
U. S. District Court, Vir	ginia Eas	stern District	ł				
Aquila, Inc.	1/05 2/05	VPEM v. Aquila, Inc.	Civil Action 304 CV 411	Breach of Contract, Damages			
U. S. District Court, Vir	ginia We	stern District					
Washington Gas Light Company	8/15 9/15	Washington Gas Light Company v. Mountaineer Gas Company	Civil Action No. 5:14- cv-41	Nominations and Gas Balancing, Lost and Unaccounted for Gas, Damages			
U.S. Securities and Exc	change Co	ommission	l	1			
Eastern Utilities Association	10/92	EUA Power Corporation	File No. 70-8034	Value of EUA Power			
U.S. Tax Court, Illinois			·				
Exelon Corporation	4/15 6/15	Exelon Corporation, as Successor by Merger to Unicom Corporation and Subsidiaries et al. v. Commission of Internal Revenue	29183-13 29184-13	Valuation of Analysis of Lease Terms and Quantify Plant Values			

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

)

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO ACQUIRE NEW MEXICO GAS COMPANY, INC. BY SATURN UTILITIES HOLDCO, LLC.

Docket No. 24-00266-UT

JOINT APPLICANTS

ELECTRONICALLY SUBMITTED AFFIRMATION OF JOHN J. REED

In accordance with 1.2.2.35(A)(3) NMAC and Rule 1-011(B) NMRA, John J. Reed, Chairman of the Board of Concentric Energy Advisors, affirms and states under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New Mexico: I have read the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibits. I further affirmatively state that I know the contents of my Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibits and they are true and accurate based on my personal knowledge and belief.

SIGNED this 16th day of May 2025.

/s/John J. Reed

John J. Reed

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO ACQUIRE NEW MEXICO GAS COMPANY, INC. BY SATURN UTILITIES HOLDCO, LLC.

Case No. 24-00266-UT

JOINT APPLICANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that on this date I sent via email a true and correct copy of *Rebuttal Testimony*

)

)

)

)

)

)

and Exhibits of John J. Reed

NM Gas Company	
Thomas M. Domme	TMD@jkwlawyers.com;
Brian J. Haverly	BJH@jkwlawyers.com;
NMGC Regulatory	NMGCRegulatory@nmgco.com;
Raymond Gifford	RGifford@wbklaw.com;
Saturn Utilities, LLC	
Dana S. Hardy	DHardy@hardymclean.com;
Jaclyn M. McLean	<u>JMclean@hardymclean.com;</u>
Timothy B. Rode	TRode@hardymclean.com;
William DuBois	WDubois@wbklaw.com;
E. Baker	Ebaker@scottmadden.com;
Coalition for Clean Affordable Energy	
Charles De Saillan	Desaillan.ccae@gmail.com;
Cara R. Lynch	Lynch.Cara.NM@gmail.com;
Don Hancock	Sricdon@earthlink.net;
Mark Ewen	Mewen@indecon.com;
Angela Vitulli	AVitulli@indecon.com;
Jason Price	<u>JPrice@indecon.com;</u>
Stefani Penn	Spenn@indecon.com;
Federal Executive Agencies	
Jelani Freeman	Jelani.Freeman@hq.doe.gov;
Emily Medlyn	Emily.Medlyn@hq.doe.gov;
Dwight Etheridge	DEtheridge@exeterassociates.com;
Incorporated County of Los Alamos	
Daniel A. Najjar	DNajjar@virtuelaw.com;
Philo Shelton	Philo.Shelton@lacnm.us;
Thomas L. Wyman	Thomas.Wyman@lacnm.us;
New Mexico AREA	
Peter J. Gould	Peter@thegouldlawfirm.com;
Kelly Gould	Kelly@thegouldlawfirm.com;
Katrina Reid	office@thegouldlawfirm.com;

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibits of John J. Reed

Case No. 24-00266-UT

New Mexico Department of Justice	
Gideon Elliot	<u>GElliot@nmdoj.gov;</u>
Maria Oropeza	MOropeza@nmdoj.gov;
Nicole Teupell	Nteupell@nmdoj.gov;
New Energy Economy	
Mariel Nanasi	Mariel@seedsbeneaththesnow.com;
Christopher Sandberg	CKSandberg@me.com;
Collin Poirot	CPoirot@jd18.law.harvard.edu;
NMPRC – Utilities Staff	
Ryan Friedman	Ryan.Friedman@prc.nm.gov;
Nicholas Rossi	Nicholas.Rossi@prc.nm.gov;
Kaythee Hlaing	Kaythee.Hlaing@prc.nm.gov;
Naomi Velasquez	Naomi.Velasquez1@prc.nm.gov;
Bryce Zedalis	Bryce.Zedalis1@prc.nm.gov;
Jacqueline Ortiz	Jacqueline.Ortiz@prc.nm.gov;
Timothy Martinez	Timothy.Martinez@prc.nm.gov;
Daren Zigich	Daren.Zigich@prc.nm.gov;
Marc Tupler	Marc.Tupler@prc.nm.gov;
Larry Blank	LB@tahoeconomics.com;
Prosperity Works	
Cara R. Lynch	Lynch.Cara.nm@gmail.com;
Ona Porter	Ona@prosperityworks.net;
Western Resource Advocates	
Cydney Beadles	Cydney.Beadles@westernresources.org;
Anna Linden Weller	Annalinden.Weller@westernresources.org;
Caitlin Evans	Caitlin.Evans@westernresources.org;
Michael Kenney	Michael.Kenney@westernresources.org;
Bradley Cebulko	BCebulko@currentenergy.group;
Meera Fickling	MFickling@currentenergy.group;
PRC General Counsel Division	
Scott Cameron	Scott.Cameron@prc.nm.gov;
LaurieAnn Santillanes	Laurieann.Santillanes@prc.nm.gov;
Alejandro Rettig y Martinez	Alejandro.Martinez@prc.nm.gov;
Russell Fisk	Russell.Fisk@prc.nm.gov;
Hearing Examiners Division	
Patrick Schaefer Co-Hearing Examiner	Patrick.Schaefer@prc.nm.gov;
Ana C. Kippenbrock, Law Clerk	Ana.Kippenbrock@prc.nm.gov;

DATED this May 16, 2025.

<u>/s/Anita Hart</u> Anita Hart Director, Regulatory Affairs 505-697-3838 anita.hart@nmgco.com