

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF NEW MEXICO GAS COMPANY, INC.)
FOR APPROVAL OF REVISIONS TO ITS)
RATES, RULES, AND CHARGES PURSUANT) Case No. 21-00267-UT
TO ADVICE NOTICE NO. 87)
)
NEW MEXICO GAS COMPANY, INC.)
)
Applicant.)

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

OF

DAVICEL AVELLAN

December 13, 2021

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

I. INTRODUCTION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Davicel Avellan. I am the Director of Regulatory Plant and Tax Accounting for Tampa Electric Company (“Tampa Electric”) a wholly-owned subsidiary of TECO Energy, Inc. (“TECO”), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Emera Inc. My business address is 702 North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND, WORK EXPERIENCE AND PRIOR TESTIMONY.

A. I have been employed by TECO for 27 years. My primary focus during the last 21 years has been in Corporate Income Taxes where I have gained utility tax industry knowledge and experience. I manage the entire federal and state income tax function for the regulated utilities owned by TECO: Tampa Electric, Peoples Gas System, and New Mexico Gas Company, Inc. (“NMGC” or “Company”). In my career I have provided tax support in various gas and electric regulatory proceedings.

My professional experience and education are described in NMGC Exhibit DA-1.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.**

2 **A.** I am responsible for providing tax services to NMGC, Peoples Gas System and
3 Tampa Electric. My responsibilities include the preparation and filing of tax
4 returns, tax accounting for internal and external purposes, tax planning, and
5 managing federal and state income tax audits. I am also responsible for overseeing
6 all the regulatory capital asset accounting and reporting for Tampa Electric and
7 Peoples Gas Systems.

8

9 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

10 **A.** My direct testimony covers the following areas:

- 11 • I am sponsoring certain schedules required by 17.10.630 NMAC (“Rule
12 630”), including Rule 630 Schedules H-9, H-10, H-11, H-12 and H-13,
13 related to the income tax computations.
- 14 • I discuss the normalized income tax accounting methods used by NMGC,
15 as required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”)
16 Accounting Standards Codification Topic 740 (“ASC 740”) (formerly
17 FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 (“SFAS
18 109”).
- 19 • I discuss the income tax normalization requirements of the Internal
20 Revenue Service (“IRS”), including those that relate to deferred tax assets
21 resulting from Contributions in Aid of Construction (“CIAC”).

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

- 1 • I discuss the IRS income tax normalization requirements that relate to
2 deferred tax assets resulting from Net Operating Loss (“NOL”)
3 carryforwards.
- 4 • I discuss the additional IRS income tax normalization requirements specific
5 to a future test period filing.
- 6 • I discuss the functionality of the calculation of Accumulated Deferred
7 Income Taxes (“ADIT”), and income tax expense as they relate to the cost
8 of service model used in this proceeding.
- 9 • I discuss the Base Period (July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021) to Future
10 Test Year (January 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023), adjustments to
11 ADIT, income tax expense, and current taxable income.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS.

A. ADIT and income tax expense should be calculated on a fully normalized, stand-alone basis. All IRS normalization requirements including, but not exclusively those relating to, accelerated tax depreciation, NOLs, CIAC, and future test periods should be strictly followed. This case as filed meets all these requirements and accurately and fairly calculates both ADIT and income tax expense in the Base Period and Future Test Year.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PURPOSE OF RULE 630 SCHEDULES H-9**
2 **THROUGH H-13.**

3 **A.** Rule 630 Schedule H-9 shows the calculation of Federal and State income tax
4 expense for the Base Period, Linkage Periods (the period between July 1, 2021
5 through December 31, 2022), and the Future Test Year. The calculation of income
6 tax expense in Rule 630 Schedule H-9 is used in the determination of revenue
7 requirements.

8
9 Rule 630 Schedule H-10 reconciles book income and current taxable income for
10 the Base Period, Linkage Periods, and the Future Test Year. The calculation of
11 current taxable income is purely informational, and is not included in the cost of
12 service, as it does not affect the total tax expense recoverable in rates.

13
14 Rule 630 Schedule H-11 requires an analysis of the tax effects from filing a
15 consolidated federal income tax return. I provide this analysis in my testimony
16 below.

17
18 Rule 630 Schedule H-12 provides detail of the ADIT activity for the 12 months
19 ended June 30, 2021 and ADIT balances for the Base Period, Linkage Periods, and
20 the Future Test Year. The ADIT accounts included in rate base are those that relate
21 to underlying assets or liabilities included in rate base. ADIT accounts that relate

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 to assets and liabilities excluded from rate base are also excluded from rate base.
2 NMGC Exhibit DA-2 provides the ADIT balances from the Base Period, Linkage
3 Periods and Future Test Year included in Rule 630 Schedule H-12.

4
5 Rule 630 Schedule H-13 identifies the investment tax credits projected by NMGC.

6
7 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CALCULATION OF INCOME TAX EXPENSE**
8 **ON RULE 630 SCHEDULE H-9.**

9 **A.** Rule 630 Schedule H-9 calculates the income tax expense allowable in rates for the
10 Base Period, Linkage Periods, and the Future Test Year. The calculation begins
11 with net pre-tax income as determined in the cost of service. Net pre-tax income is
12 then adjusted for permanent book/tax differences. It is also adjusted for the reversal
13 of temporary book/tax differences. These are temporary differences that are treated
14 as if they are permanent differences for ratemaking purposes. The adjusted net
15 income is then multiplied by the statutory New Mexico and Federal tax rates to
16 determine the preliminary tax expense. The preliminary tax expense is then
17 reduced by the reversal of excess deferred income taxes.

18
19 **Q. IS THE INCOME TAX EXPENSE IN THE COST OF SERVICE**
20 **CALCULATED ON A STAND-ALONE BASIS OR A CONSOLIDATED**
21 **BASIS?**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 **A.** The income tax expense included in the cost of service is calculated on a stand-
2 alone basis. No effects of the consolidated filing are included in the cost of service.
3 This is consistent with prior NMGC rate applications and prior Commission orders.

4

5 **II. INCOME TAX NORMALIZATION, ADIT, AND NOLS**

6

7 **Q.** **WHICH ACCOUNTING METHOD, NORMALIZATION OR FLOW-**
8 **THROUGH, DOES NMGC USE TO DETERMINE INCOME TAX**
9 **EXPENSE AND ADIT IN THE COST OF SERVICE?**

10 **A.** NMGC uses the normalization method.

11

12 **Q.** **PLEASE EXPLAIN NORMALIZATION ACCOUNTING.**

13 **A.** Normalization accounting for income taxes calculates income tax expense on the
14 pre-tax items of income and expense recorded for financial statement purposes or
15 included in the cost of service for ratemaking purposes. The income tax expense is
16 then adjusted for permanent differences between income recorded for financial
17 reporting (book) purposes and income determined for income tax reporting (tax)
18 purposes. Tax expense is then divided between the amount currently payable to the
19 IRS and the amount that must be paid in the future. This division between current
20 and deferred tax expense is calculated based on temporary differences between
21 book and taxable income. The tax expense incurred in the current year for which
22 payment is deferred due to temporary book/tax differences is recorded on the

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 balance sheet as a liability or asset, as the case may be. The flow-through method,
2 on the other hand, treats temporary differences not as a deferral of an incurred tax
3 liability, but as a permanent reduction in the income tax expense for the period.

4

5 **Q. WHY IS NORMALIZATION SUPERIOR TO OTHER METHODS OF TAX**
6 **ACCOUNTING?**

7 **A.** Under normalization, tax expense is recognized in the same time period as the
8 income or expense from which it is derived. In other words, tax expense is recorded
9 when the liability to pay the tax is established, not when the taxes are actually paid.
10 Then, an ADIT account is created for the portion of that tax that is not payable
11 immediately but is deferred and payable in a future year. In this way, normalization
12 results in the proper allocation of tax expense between current and future customers
13 while considering the time value of the savings resulting from deferred tax
14 payments by including ADIT in rate base. For ratemaking purposes, the sum of all
15 the ADIT accounts is generally a liability balance and therefore reduces rate base.
16 This recognizes that, from the ratemaking perspective, the temporary cash savings
17 resulting from the deferred tax payments represents a cost-free source of capital to
18 the utility. The inclusion of the net ADIT liability as a reduction in rate base ensures
19 that customers receive the benefits of this cost-free capital.

20

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 **Q. MUST NORMALIZATION ACCOUNTING BE USED TO SET UTILITY**
2 **RATES?**

3 **A.** Yes. The Internal Revenue Code (“IRC” or the “Tax Code”) § 168 mandates that,
4 in determining rates using a cost of service methodology, regulated utilities must
5 use the normalization method to calculate the tax expense related to depreciation-
6 related temporary differences. Additionally, the temporary differences resulting
7 from CIAC are specifically required to be normalized under IRS Notice 87-82, as
8 discussed in IRS Private Letter Ruling (“PLR”) 9035056 and 200933023.
9 Similarly, NOLs are specifically required to be normalized, to the extent that they
10 are created by accelerated tax depreciation.

11

12 The normalization method correctly recognizes that temporary book/tax
13 differences, by their nature, reverse over time so that they affect only the timing of
14 tax payments, not total tax expense paid.

15

16 **Q. WHAT IS THE PENALTY FOR VIOLATING THE IRS**
17 **NORMALIZATION REQUIREMENT?**

18 **A.** A normalization violation will result in the loss of the ability to use accelerated tax
19 depreciation on all public utility property held by the utility. This would result in
20 a substantial increase in rates, as customers would no longer enjoy the large rate
21 base reduction resulting from depreciation-related ADIT liabilities.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 **Q. CAN ADIT BE AN ADDITION TO RATE BASE, RATHER THAN A**
2 **REDUCTION?**

3 **A.** Yes, it can. Certain temporary book/tax differences increase, rather than decrease,
4 taxable income. An example is interest expense on capital projects that is required
5 to be capitalized and depreciated for tax purposes but is deducted when incurred
6 for book purposes. In this case, the tax payable actually exceeds the tax expense
7 recorded for book purposes. This excess tax will be returned to the Company over
8 time as the underlying asset is depreciated. In such a case, because we are paying
9 the tax now, instead of in the future, an ADIT asset is created. The theory and
10 treatment are the same, however, for both ADIT assets and liabilities. Their
11 inclusion in rate base accounts for the difference between recoverable income tax
12 expense and cash taxes paid. In this case, NMGC has a net liability on their books
13 that is a reduction in rate base.

14
15 **Q. WHEN DISCUSSING NORMALIZATION, YOU HAVE USED THE**
16 **TERMS “PERMANENT AND TEMPORARY DIFFERENCES.” PLEASE**
17 **EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCES STARTING WITH PERMANENT**
18 **DIFFERENCE.**

19 **A.** A “permanent difference” is a book/tax difference that will never reverse. Because
20 of differences between the book (and ratemaking) accounting rules and the tax law,
21 the taxability of some income or expense items will never be the same for book and

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 tax purposes. These items affect the total income taxes paid over time, not just the
2 timing of those payments.

3
4 An example of a permanent difference is penalties. For book purposes, 100% of
5 penalty expenses are generally deductible. For tax purposes, however, 100% of
6 penalty expenses are considered non-deductible, as I discuss further in my
7 testimony below. The difference between the book deductibility and the tax
8 deductibility is absolute and permanent, and not merely related to the timing of the
9 deduction. Therefore, tax expense increases by the tax effects of the non-deductible
10 penalty.

11

12 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TERM “TEMPORARY DIFFERENCE” AS IT**
13 **RELATES TO THE NORMALIZATION.**

14 **A.** A temporary difference is a difference between book income and taxable income
15 that arises in one tax year and reverses in later years. A temporary difference results
16 in no change in total income tax expense payable over the life of the underlying
17 item. A temporary difference only affects the timing of the payment of such tax
18 liability.

19

20 The use of accelerated depreciation for tax purposes is an example of an accounting
21 method that gives rise to a temporary difference between book income and taxable

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 income. Although depreciation on a given asset can only equal the asset's cost and
2 can only be taken over the life of the asset, the timing of the depreciation deduction
3 will differ when different depreciation methods are allowed for book and tax
4 purposes. For example, accelerated depreciation may be used for tax purposes
5 while the straight-line method is used for calculating book depreciation expense.
6 In that instance, taxable income will be less than book income in the early years of
7 the life of the asset because the depreciation deduction for tax purposes is
8 accelerated, or "front-end loaded." Correspondingly, taxable income will be
9 greater than book income in later years, when the straight-line book method results
10 in a higher depreciation deduction than that used for tax purposes. Over the life of
11 the asset, the cumulative amounts deducted for depreciation will be the same for
12 book and tax purposes, and the total income tax expense will be the same for both.

13

14 **Q. WHY ARE PERMANENT BOOK/TAX DIFFERENCES AND**
15 **TEMPORARY BOOK/TAX DIFFERENCES NOT ACCOUNTED FOR IN**
16 **THE SAME WAY?**

17 **A.** Total tax expense recorded for book purposes over the life of the corporation must
18 equal the total amount of tax remitted to the IRS over the life of the corporation.
19 Because permanent differences never reverse over time, they affect the total tax
20 paid, not just the timing of the payments. Therefore, book income tax expense must

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 be adjusted for the change in tax expense created by these permanent differences.

2 These adjustments are made on Rule 630 Schedule H-9 and in the cost of service.

3

4 **Q. WHAT IS NMGC’S CURRENT STATUS WITH REGARD TO NET**
5 **OPERATING LOSSES (“NOL”)?**

6 **A.** NMGC is currently in an NOL carryforward position.

7

8 **Q. WHY IS NMGC IN AN NOL CARRYFORWARD SITUATION?**

9 **A.** An NOL is created when tax deductions exceed taxable income. These deductions
10 can arise from temporary book/tax differences such as accelerated tax depreciation.
11 For capital intensive businesses such as utilities, the bonus depreciation provisions
12 of the IRC that were previously available to regulated utilities resulted in tax
13 depreciation deductions so large that they created negative taxable income in recent
14 years.

15

16 When a company has negative current taxable income, it cannot realize the cash
17 benefit of all of the deductions, because it cannot reduce its tax payments below
18 zero. The NOLs must be deferred and are carried forward to be used against taxable
19 income in future periods, subject to certain limitations. Only then will the taxpayer
20 receive the cash tax benefit of these NOLs. For these reasons, the Company elected
21 to take bonus depreciation, which resulted in a benefit to its customers.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 When carried forward, the NOL is a temporary book/tax difference for which an
2 ADIT asset must be recorded. The sum of (i) the ADIT liability created by the
3 bonus depreciation and (ii) the ADIT asset created by the NOL carryforward
4 represents the cash tax benefits that were actually received by the Company.

5

6 **Q. HAS NMGC INCLUDED AN NOL CARRYFORWARD ADIT ASSET IN**
7 **RATE BASE IN THE BASE PERIOD, LINKAGE PERIODS, AND FUTURE**
8 **TEST YEAR?**

9 **A.** Yes, it has, consistent with GAAP and IRS normalization requirements.

10

11 **Q. IS THE INCLUSION IN RATE BASE OF THE NOL CARRYFORWARD**
12 **ADIT REQUIRED BY THE IRS?**

13 **A.** Yes, it is. Treasury Regulation §1.167(1)-1(h)(1)(iii), specifically addresses this
14 situation:

15 In respect of any taxable year the use of a method of depreciation
16 other than a subsection (1) method for purposes of determining the
17 taxpayer's reasonable allowance under section 167(a) results in a net
18 operating loss carryover (as determined under section 172) to a year
19 succeeding such taxable year which would not have arisen (or an
20 increase in such carryover which would not have arisen) had the
21 taxpayer determined his reasonable allowance under section 167(a)
22 using a subsection (1) method, then the amount and time of the
23 deferral of tax liability shall be taken into account in such
24 appropriate time and manner as is satisfactory to the district director.
25

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 PLRs 201436037, 201436038, 201438003, 201519021, 201534001, and
2 201548017 clarify that a tax calculation with and without accelerated depreciation
3 is utilized to determine the amount of the NOL carryforward ADIT required to be
4 normalized. To the extent that accelerated depreciation creates an NOL
5 carryforward, the NOL carryforward ADIT asset would constitute a normalization
6 violation.

7
8 **Q. IS THIS CONSISTENT WITH THE IRS'S POSITION ON THE**
9 **TREATMENT OF NOLS IN RATEMAKING PROCEEDINGS?**

10 **A.** Yes, it is. The IRS view is that the NOL carryforwards that are required to be
11 normalized are calculated using a “with-and-without” approach. This means that
12 the IRS considers an NOL to be created first by accelerated tax depreciation
13 (including bonus tax depreciation). Only to the extent the NOL is larger than the
14 accelerated tax depreciation deductions is it considered to have been created by
15 other tax deductions. The majority of NMGC NOLs have been created from
16 accelerated tax depreciation, including bonus tax depreciation and would fall under
17 these normalization rules.

18
19 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE SIX PLRS MENTIONED ABOVE.**

20 **A.** These six PLRs are pertinent because they deal with facts almost identical to those
21 in this case. Before the introduction of bonus tax depreciation, very few regulated

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 utilities incurred NOLs on a stand-alone basis. This accounts for the lack of PLRs
2 on the issue of NOL carryforward ADIT normalization prior to 2014. With the
3 enactment of bonus tax depreciation, NOLs have become much more common for
4 utilities. As a result, several utilities sought PLRs regarding NOL carryforward
5 ADIT normalization. All six of the referenced 2014 and 2015 PLRs relate to
6 whether NOL carryforward ADIT assets are required to be included as a reduction
7 in rate base, and how to calculate the required includible amount.

8
9 **Q. WHAT CONCLUSIONS DO THESE PLRS REACH?**

10 **A.** These six PLRs confirm that in order to avoid a normalization violation, NOL
11 carryforward ADIT assets must be included in rate base and that the correct method
12 for determining the amount that must be included is a “with-and-without” or “last
13 dollar deducted” approach. In other words, accelerated tax depreciation is
14 considered to be the last expense deducted, and the hypothetical taxable income of
15 the utility is calculated with and without accelerated tax depreciation deductions.
16 The change in the taxable loss resulting from this calculation is the amount for
17 which NOL carryforward ADIT must be included in rate base to prevent a
18 normalization violation. If the change exceeds the NOL, the entire NOL
19 carryforward ADIT must be included in rate case. All six PLRS contain essentially
20 the following language:

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 Because the ADIT account [Account 282], the reserve account for
2 deferred taxes, reduces rate base, it is clear that the portion of an
3 NOLC attributable to accelerated depreciation is correctly taken into
4 account by maximizing the amount of the NOLC attributable to
5 accelerated depreciation. This methodology provides certainty and
6 prevents the possibility of “flow through” of the benefits of
7 accelerated depreciation to ratepayers.
8

9 **Q. WHAT IS THE PENALTY FOR VIOLATING THE IRS**
10 **NORMALIZATION REQUIREMENT REGARDING NOLS?**

11 **A.** Because the NOL normalization rules are a subset of the depreciation normalization
12 rules, a violation of the NOL normalization requirement would result in the loss of
13 the ability to use accelerated tax depreciation.

14
15 **Q. IS IT ALSO SOUND REGULATORY AND ACCOUNTING PRACTICE TO**
16 **INCLUDE THE NOL CARRYFORWARD ADIT IN RATE BASE?**

17 **A.** Yes, it is. This treatment assures that NMGC customers receive the benefits of the
18 actual deferred tax payments, no more and no less. Including the ADIT liability
19 from accelerated tax depreciation, including bonus depreciation, and not also the
20 offsetting NOL carryforward ADIT asset, would treat the Company as if it had
21 realized the entire benefit of the bonus depreciation in the years in which it was
22 earned. In reality, a substantial portion of that benefit is required to be deferred,
23 only to be realized in future years. The reason that ADIT liabilities are included as
24 a reduction to rate base is to compensate customers for the cash benefit, or cost-free

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 capital, that the utility has received due to the temporary acceleration of certain
2 expenses for tax purposes. If the NOL carryforward ADIT asset was not included
3 as an addition to rate base this cash-savings benefit would be greatly overstated,
4 and customers would benefit unjustly from cash savings not yet realized by the
5 utility.

6
7 **Q. DOES NMGC HAVE ANY NOL CARRYFOWARD THAT ARE NOT**
8 **NORMALIZED?**

9 **A.** Yes, in 2021, the Company expects a large NOL due to the unusual large amount of
10 increased purchased gas cost in the amount of \$107 million related to the 2021
11 weather event which occurred in February 2021. For federal income tax purposes,
12 NMGC is allowed a current tax deduction for the cost of gas purchased. The
13 allowed tax deduction of \$107M is a temporary difference between book income
14 and taxable income for which the ADIT liability will reverse over 30 months,
15 beginning July 2021 through December 2023. As discussed earlier the NOL will
16 reverse over future taxable income.

17
18 **Q. ARE THERE ADDITIONAL IRS NORMALIZATION REQUIREMENTS**
19 **THAT RELATE SPECIFICALLY TO FUTURE TEST YEAR FILINGS?**

20 **A.** Yes. Treasury Regulations issued under IRC § 167 govern the determination of the
21 amount of ADIT allowable as a rate base reduction in a future test year.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 Specifically, Treasury Regulation § 1.167(1)-1 mandates special “proration rules”
2 when a future test period is used in determining rates, and the newly determined
3 rates are expected to be in effect for all or a portion of that test period.

4

5 **Q. DO THESE PRORATION RULES APPLY TO ALL ADIT BALANCES**
6 **INCLUDED IN RATE BASE?**

7 **A.** No, they do not. The proration rules only apply to depreciation-related ADIT.
8 Other ADIT balances are not pro-rated.

9

10 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THESE FUTURE TEST YEAR NORMALIZATION**
11 **REQUIREMENTS.**

12 **A.** Under Treasury Regulation § 1.167(1)-1, when a future test period is used to set
13 rates and the newly determined rates are expected to be in effect for all or a portion
14 of that test period, the utility plant ADIT additions in the portion of the test period
15 in which the new rates are expected to be in effect must be pro-rated over the period
16 for which the new rates are expected to be in effect.

17

18 In this filing, the future period is the year ending December 31, 2023. Collection of
19 the new rate is expected to start on January 1, 2023. Therefore, the new rates are
20 expected to be in place for the entirety of the Future Test Year. As a result, January
21 through December 2023 utility plant ADIT additions must be pro-rated. The Future

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 Test Year utility plant ADIT additions are pro-rated using a ratio in which the
2 numerator is the number of days remaining in the Future Test Year, and the
3 denominator is the number of days during which the new rates are expected to be
4 in effect in the Future Test Year. Because NMGC closes its books on a monthly
5 basis, the proration is also done on a monthly basis. As a result, January 2023 ADIT
6 additions are prorated using a ratio of 335/365, February 2023 ADIT additions are
7 prorated by 307/365, and so on until December 2023 additions are prorated by
8 1/365.

9

10 **Q. MUST A PRORATION BE DONE IF RATE BASE IS DETERMINED**
11 **USING AVERAGE TEST-PERIOD BALANCES?**

12 **A.** Yes. IRS rules state that a proration must be done even when average rate base is
13 used. The proration must be done first, before the averaging methodology is
14 applied. The averaging methodology is then applied to the prorated balances.

15

16 **Q. ARE SIMILAR PRORATION RULES APPLICABLE TO THE**
17 **CALCULATION OF INCOME TAX EXPENSE IN A FUTURE TEST**
18 **YEAR?**

19 **A.** No. Income tax expense in a future test period is calculated in the same manner as
20 it is for a historic test period.

21

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 **Q. WHAT PERIOD WAS USED TO DEVELOP THE BASE PERIOD ADIT**
2 **AND TAX EXPENSE?**

3 **A.** The Base Period reflects the ADIT balances as of June 30, 2021 and the tax expense
4 reflects the 12 months ended on that date. The Base Period ADIT, permanent and
5 flow-through book/tax differences, tax credits, and other tax adjustments come
6 from the Company’s financial accounting books and records. The only adjustments
7 made to Base Period ADIT are the Model-Driven Calculations, discussed below.
8 All other adjustments discussed below were made in the development of the
9 Adjusted Base Period.

10

11 **Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE IN DETERMINING THE**
12 **ADJUSTED BASE PERIOD AND ADJUSTED LINKAGE PERIODS ADIT**
13 **BALANCES?**

14 **A.** ADIT adjustment have been made to the Base Period and Linkage Periods balances
15 where necessary to synchronize ADIT with underlying rate base items. These
16 include:

- 17 • Model-Driven Calculations-ADIT balances that relate to regulatory assets
18 and liabilities and other rate base items were trued-up to equal the balance
19 of the underlying account multiplied by the combined federal and state rate
20 that is calculated.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 • ADIT balances on certain regulatory assets and liabilities are adjusted to
2 synchronize with the adjustments to the underlying regulatory assets and
3 liabilities shown on NMGC Exhibit DA-3. The following ADIT changes
4 are shown on Rule 630 Schedule H-12, pages 3-7:

- 5 ○ remove ADIT Asset for Start-up and Organizational Costs;
- 6 ○ remove ADIT for Amortization of Start-up & Organizational Costs;
- 7 ○ remove ADIT for Amortization of Goodwill; and
- 8 ○ remove ADIT for Non-Utility Other Income and Deductions.

9

10 **Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE IN DETERMINING ADJUSTED**
11 **BASE PERIOD AND ADJUSTED LINKAGE PERIODS INCOME TAX**
12 **EXPENSE?**

13 **A.** Several items in the income tax expense calculation were adjusted to arrive at the
14 Adjusted Base Period and Adjusted Linkage Periods income tax expense. These
15 items are as follows:

- 16 • non-deductible permanent book/tax differences including, lobbying
17 expense, political contributions, and fines and penalties;
- 18 • the flow-through difference for AFUDC Equity; and
- 19 • federal and state excess deferred income tax reversals.

20

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

III. FUTURE TEST YEAR CALCULATIONS AND ADJUSTMENTS

1
2
3 **Q. ARE THE ADIT AND INCOME TAX EXPENSE CALCULATIONS IN THE**
4 **COST OF SERVICE MODEL “FULLY FUNCTIONAL,” AS DESCRIBED**
5 **IN THE FUTURE TEST YEAR RULE?**

6 **A.** No, they are not. It is not feasible to make income tax and ADIT calculations fully
7 functional in a Microsoft Excel model, due to the complexity of the interaction
8 among income tax laws and GAAP reporting requirements. Changes to ADIT and
9 income tax expense adjustments (such as permanent and flow-through book/tax
10 differences and income tax credits) must be determined outside the cost of service
11 model and then manually input. Therefore, in accordance with 17.1.3.11 NMAC,
12 NMGC will rerun the calculations reasonably required by Staff or Intervenors in
13 order to capture the impact on the proposed cost of service of any adjustments to
14 ADIT or other income tax input.

15
16 **Q. HOW HAS NMGC CALCULATED THE ADIT INCLUDED IN THE**
17 **FUTURE TEST YEAR COST OF SERVICE?**

18 **A.** The calculated incremental ADIT included in the Future Test Year revenue
19 requirements is calculated at the applicable combined federal and state income tax
20 rates in effect for the Future Test Year. The changes in ADIT are calculated by
21 applying the applicable tax rates to the changes in the underlying book/tax
22 differences on rate base accounts, be they plant-in-service, regulatory assets or

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 liabilities, or other rate base items. Additionally, certain ADIT accounts are
2 adjusted for “tax-only” differences, including repairs deductions, NOL
3 carryforwards, average rate assumption method (“ARAM”) reversals, and
4 depreciation flow-through reversals. All the Future Test Year adjustments are
5 discussed in more detail below.

6
7 **Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE TO ADIT BALANCES IN THE**
8 **FUTURE TEST YEAR?**

9 **A.** ADIT for the Future Test Year has been adjusted for the following:

- 10 • The IRS-required proration of depreciation-related ADIT discussed
11 previously in my testimony. These adjustments are embedded in the
12 monthly Future Test Year balances shown on Rule 630 Schedule H-12.4
13 pages 10. Such inclusion in the monthly balances is necessary due to the use
14 of an average test period rate base in this case;
- 15 • The following ADIT changes are shown on Rule 630 Schedule H-12, pages
16 3-7:
- 17 ○ remove ADIT Asset for Start-up and Organizational Costs;
 - 18 ○ remove ADIT for Amortization of Start-up & Organizational Costs;
 - 19 ○ remove ADIT for Amortization of Goodwill;
 - 20 ○ remove ADIT for Non-Utility Other Income and Deductions;
 - 21 ○ remove ADIT for economic development; and

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 ○ remove ADIT for non utility Contributions in aid of Construction.

2

3 **Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE TO INCOME TAX EXPENSE IN**
4 **THE FUTURE TEST YEAR?**

5 **A.** The income tax expense calculation in the Future Test Year has been adjusted for
6 the following:

7 • non-deductible permanent book/tax differences including club dues,
8 political contributions, and lobbying expense;

9 • the flow-through difference for AFUDC Equity;

10 • federal and state excess deferred income tax reversals as follows:

11 ○ the ARAM reversal of federal excess deferred income taxes has
12 been calculated using the estimated useful lives in accordance with
13 IRS normalization requirements; and

14 ○ the excess deferred state income tax amortization has been
15 calculated based on a 33-year amortization of the estimated balance
16 as of December 31, 2017.

17

18 All the above changes are shown on Rule 630 Schedules H-9, and the changes to
19 taxable income are shown on Rule 630 Schedule H-10.

20

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CONCEPT OF EXCESS DEFERRED INCOME**
2 **TAXES.**

3 **A.** When deferred taxes are recorded and included in income tax expense in the cost
4 of service, they are generally calculated at the rate in effect when the deferred tax
5 was created. These deferred taxes create ADIT because they are not paid in the year
6 the expense is recorded but in a later year. As a result of the rate reduction, those
7 deferred taxes will be paid at a lower rate than that at which they were accrued. The
8 difference between the amount accrued and the amount expected to be paid at the
9 lower rate is called excess deferred income tax.

10

11 **Q. HOW WERE EXCESS DEFERRED TAXES ACCOUNTED FOR?**

12 **A.** Excess deferred taxes were accounted for by following the average rate assumption
13 method (“ARAM”) in accordance with IRS normalization requirements and the 33-
14 year amortization period as proposed in NMPRC Case Number 19-00317-UT. Just
15 as with any other ADIT liability, the excess deferred state income taxes reduce rate
16 base because they are a component of ADIT. This compensates customers for the
17 difference in timing between when tax expense is recovered from customers and
18 when it is paid out by NMGC. The excess deferred state income taxes will only be
19 removed from the ADIT liability which reduces rate base when they are actually
20 returned to customers. Therefore, customers are not harmed by any delay. The only
21 difference between the excess deferred state income taxes and other ADIT is that

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

1 NMGC will return the excess deferred state income taxes to customers through its
2 rates and will pay other ADIT to the IRS. Either way, customers are compensated
3 or "made whole" for the entire time that NMGC has the use of the excess funds.
4 This is a basic tenet of ratemaking for noncash expenses, including ADIT, and is
5 the very reason that the ADIT liability is included as a reduction to rate base.
6

7 **Q. ARE THE EXCESS DEFERRED TAXES A SOURCE OF ZERO-COST**
8 **CAPITAL TO NMGC?**

9 **A.** No. Deferred taxes can be seen only as a cost-free source of capital that comes from
10 the taxing authority. By no means are they cost-free to NMGC once the ratemaking
11 implications are considered. Because NMGC received this payment deferral from
12 the taxing authority at no cost, the Company must reduce rate base by the resulting
13 ADIT liability. This compensates customers for the non-cash portion of recoverable
14 income tax expense and reduces revenues earned by the Company. This reduction
15 in revenue is NMGC's cost, and the benefit of deferred taxes is passed back to
16 customers through the ADIT rate base reduction.
17

18 **Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS?**

19 **A.** Yes, I do:

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
DAVICEL AVELLAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 21-00267-UT**

- 1 • the ADIT and income tax expense included in the Base Period and Future
2 Test Year cost of service are fair and accurate based on the underlying rate
3 base and recoverable expenses included in the cost of service;
- 4 • the calculations of tax expense and ADIT comply with all IRS
5 normalization requirements, including those related to accelerated tax
6 depreciation, NOLs, and CIAC. The Future Test Year adjustments and
7 ARAM excess deferred income tax amortization ensure compliance with
8 the IRS normalization requirements for those items. The Future Test Year
9 proration of certain plant-related incremental ADIT ensures compliance
10 with the normalization requirements for future test periods;
- 11 • the income tax calculations are all done on a fully-normalized basis,
12 consistent with Commission precedent and past NMGC filings; and
- 13 • the income tax calculations are all done on a stand-alone Company basis,
14 consistent with previous NMGC filings.

15

16 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

17 **A.** Yes.